UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

	FORM	10-Q
(Mark One)		
×	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECT ACT OF 1934	TION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
	For the quarterly period en	nded September 30, 2012
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECT ACT OF 1934	TON 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
	For the transition period from	to
	Commission File Nu	mber 333-169785
	LANTHEUS MEDICA (Exact name of registrant as	•
	Delaware	51-0396366
	(State of incorporation)	(IRS Employer Identification No.)
	331 Treble Cove Road, North Billerica,	01862
	MA (Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
	(978) 671	-8001
	(Registrant's telephone num	
Securities	s registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None	
Securities	s registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \square No \boxtimes

Indicate by check mark whether the required to be submitted and posted pursu	C	, ,	Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File ing the preceding 12 months (or for such
shorter period that the registrant was requ	•	* '	
Indicate by check mark whether the r See definitions of "large accelerated filer,			lerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. 2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer □	Accelerated filer □	Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company □
Indicate by check mark whether the	registrant is a shell company	(as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act)	Yes□ No 🗷
The registrant had 1,000 shares of c	ommon stock, \$0.01 par val	ue per share, issued and outstanding as	s of November 09, 2012.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

The registrant has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, during the preceding 12 months.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	<u>1</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Nine Months	
	Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>1</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	<u>2</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's (Deficit) Equity for the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2012 and the Year Ended December 31, 2011	<u>3</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012 and 2011	<u>4</u>
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>5</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>32</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>51</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>52</u>
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>53</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Signatures</u>		<u>54</u>
Exhibit Inc	<u>lex</u>	<u>55</u>

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Lantheus MI Intermediate, Inc. and subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss

(unaudited, in thousands)

		For the Thr Ended Sept		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2012 2011			2012	2011	
Revenues						
Net product revenues	\$	71,163	\$	84,091	\$ 207,839	\$ 268,325
License and other revenues		2,582		2,141	8,018	6,445
Total revenues		73,745		86,232	215,857	274,770
Cost of goods sold		65,114		48,943	166,275	188,439
Loss on firm purchase commitment		1,859			1,859	1,879
Total cost of goods sold		66,973		48,943	168,134	190,318
Gross profit		6,772		37,289	47,723	84,452
Operating expenses						
General and administrative expenses		7,801		8,681	24,760	23,935
Sales and marketing expenses		9,257		9,650	28,165	29,747
Research and development expenses		10,511		10,338	31,282	31,185
Proceeds from manufacturer		(800)		_	(34,614)	_
Total operating expenses		26,769		28,669	49,593	84,867
Operating (loss) income		(19,997)		8,620	(1,870)	(415)
Interest expense, net		(10,464)		(10,517)	(31,277)	(27,887)
Other (expense) income, net		(834)		355	(248)	1,298
Loss before income taxes		(31,295)		(1,542)	(33,395)	(27,004)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		(2,574)		452	(944)	(9,044)
Net loss	\$	(28,721)	\$	(1,994)	\$ (32,451)	\$ (17,960)
Foreign currency translation, net of taxes		1,021		(1,156)	1,199	(529)
Total comprehensive loss	\$	(27,700)	\$	(3,150)	\$ (31,252)	\$ (18,489)

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(unaudited, in thousands except share data)

	Se	ptember 30, 2012	De	ecember 31, 2011
Assets				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	56,844	\$	40,607
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$258 and \$462		41,874		40,000
Inventory		13,647		14,765
Income tax receivable		1,155		
Deferred tax assets		112		93
Other current assets		3,436		2,662
Total current assets		117,068		98,127
Property, plant and equipment, net		109,820		112,452
Capitalized software development costs		2,620		3,582
Intangibles, net		70,864		82,749
Goodwill		15,714		15,714
Deferred financing costs		11,712		13,141
Due from parent		_		1,286
Other long-term assets		22,428		31,753
Total assets	\$	350,226	\$	358,804
Liabilities and Stockholder's Deficit				
Current liabilities				
Note payable	\$	155	\$	_
Accounts payable		24,407		22,010
Accrued expenses		45,397		20,949
Income tax payable		_		1,482
Deferred revenue		5,199		3,918
Total current liabilities		75,158		48,359
Asset retirement obligation		5,278		4,868
Long-term debt, net		398,774		398,629
Deferred tax liability		589		931
Other long-term liabilities		35,067		39,220
Total liabilities		514,866		492,007
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 13)				
Stockholder's deficit				
Common stock (\$0.001 par value, 10,000 shares authorized; 1 share issued and				
outstanding)		_		_
Due from parent		(1,242)		_
Additional paid-in capital		2,142		1,085
Accumulated deficit		(167,110		(134,659)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		1,570		371
Total stockholder's deficit		(164,640)		(133,203)
Total liabilities and stockholder's deficit	\$	350,226	\$	358,804

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's (Deficit) Equity

(unaudited, in thousands except share data)

	Common Stock Shares Amount	Due from Parent	Additional Paid-in Capital	(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholder's (Deficit) Equity
Balance at			-			
January 1, 2011	1\$ —	_	\$ 150,316	\$ 2,410	\$ 708	\$ 153,434
Dividend paid to Holdings						
(see Note 10)		_	(149,400)	(600)	_	(150,000)
Net loss		_	_	(136,469)	_	(136,469)
Foreign currency						
translation		_	_	_	(337)	(337)
Stock-based						
compensation			169			169
Balance at						
December 31,						
2011	1 —	_	1,085	(134,659)	371	(133,203)
Net loss		_	_	(32,451)	_	(32,451)
Due from parent (See Note 14)		(1,242)	_	_	_	(1,242)
Foreign currency					1.100	1 100
translation		_	_	_	1,199	1,199
Stock-based			1.057			1.057
compensation			1,057			1,057
Balance at September 30,						
2012	1 \$ —	\$(1,242)	\$ 2,142	\$ (167,110	\$ 1,570	\$ (164,640)

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited, in thousands)

		ne Months
	Ended Sep 2012	2011
Cash flow from operating activities		
Net loss	\$(32,451)	\$ (17,960
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash flow from operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	22,286	26,071
Impairment of intangible asset	_	23,474
Provision for excess and obsolete inventory	12,396	15,743
Stock-based compensation	1,066	(1,129
Deferred income taxes	(136)	(12,236
Loss on firm purchase commitment	1,859	1,879
Other	880	1,538
Increase (decrease) in cash from operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(1,747)	(839)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	785	382
Inventory	(2,324)	(12,514
Income tax receivable	(1,155)	_
Due from parent	_	(495
Deferred revenue	1,734	(4,805)
Accounts payable	2,574	(7,361
Income tax payable	(1,482)	(316
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	17,926	13,625
Cash provided by operating activities	22,211	25,057
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of certificate of deposit	(225)	_
Capital expenditures	(4,900)	(6,359
Cash used in investing activities	(5,125)	(6,359)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of debt	_	152,250
Payments on note payable	(1,375)	_
Consent solicitation fee	_	(3,750)
Proceeds from line of credit	_	10,000
Payments on line of credit	_	(10,000)
Debt issuance costs	(198)	(5,453)
Due from parent	44	_
Payment of dividend to parent	_	(150,000)
Cash used in financing activities	(1,529)	(6,953
Effect of foreign exchange rate on cash	680	(595
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	16,237	11,150
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	40,607	33,006
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 56,844	\$ 44,156
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Interest paid	\$ 19,520	\$ 19,577
Income taxes paid, net of refunds	\$ 1,346	\$ 1,392

Noncash investing and financing activities

Property, plant and equipment included in accounts payable and accrued expenses

\$ 1,464 \$

258

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to the "Company," "Lantheus," "our company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to Lantheus MI Intermediate, Inc. and its direct and indirect subsidiaries, references to "Lantheus Intermediate" refer to only Lantheus MI Intermediate, Inc., the parent of Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc., references to "Holdings" refer to Lantheus MI Holdings, Inc., the parent of Lantheus Intermediate and references to "LMI" refer to Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc., the subsidiary of Lantheus Intermediate. Solely for convenience, we refer to trademarks, service marks and trade names without the TM, SM and ® symbols. Such references are not intended to indicate, in any way, that we will not assert, to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law, our rights to our trademarks, service marks and trade names.

1. Business Overview

Overview

The Company manufactures, markets, sells and distributes medical imaging products globally with operations in the United States (U.S.), Puerto Rico, Canada and Australia and distribution relationships in Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America. The Company provides medical imaging products, primarily focused on cardiovascular diagnostic imaging, to nuclear physicians, cardiologists, radiologists, internal medicine physicians, independent delivery networks, group purchasing organizations and technologists/sonographers working in a variety of clinical settings.

The Company's principal products include:

- DEFINITY—an ultrasound contrast agent;
- TechneLite—a generator that provides the radioisotope used to radiolabel Cardiolite and otheradiopharmaceuticals.
- Cardiolite—a myocardial perfusion imaging agent;

In the U.S., the Company's nuclear imaging products are primarily distributed through radiopharmacy chains, with a small portion of the sales of these products also made through the Company's direct sales force to hospitals and clinics that maintain their own in-house radiopharmacies. In the U.S., sales of the Company's contrast agents are made through a direct sales force. Outside of the U.S., the Company owns five radiopharmacies in Canada and two radiopharmacies in each of Puerto Rico and Australia. The Company also maintains a direct sales force in each of these countries. In the rest of the world, the Company relies on third-party distributors to sell both nuclear imaging and contrast agent products.

Basis of Consolidation and Presentation

The financial statements have been prepared in United States dollars, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"). The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

In the opinion of the Company's management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial statements for interim periods in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The information

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

1. Business Overview (Continued)

included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 ("2011 Form 10-K"). The Company's accounting policies are described in the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in the 2011 Form 10-K and updated, as necessary, in this Form 10-Q. There were no changes to the Company's accounting policies since December 31, 2011. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data presented for comparative purposes was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year or for any other subsequent interim period.

Recent Events

The Company generated a net loss of \$28.7 million and \$32.5 million during the three and nine months ended. September 30, 2012, respectively, and had an accumulated deficit of \$167.1 million at September 30, 2012. The Company currently relies on Ben Venue Laboratories ("BVL") as its sole source manufacturer for DEFINITY and Neurolite and as the primary manufacturer for the Cardiolite product supply. In July 2010, BVL temporarily shut down the facility in which it manufactures products for a number of customers, including the Company, in order to upgrade the facility to meet certain regulatory requirements. In anticipation of this outage, BVL manufactured for the Company additional inventory of these products to meet the Company's expected needs during the outage period, which was initially anticipated to end in March 2011. Because the outage and restart activities took substantially longer than anticipated by either BVL or the Company, the Company could not meet all of the demand for certain products during the second half of 2011 and the first three quarters of 2012, resulting in an overall revenue decline in comparison to the prior periods. BVL resumed manufacturing certain of the Company's products in May 2012. After BVL released the first lot of newly-manufactured DEFINITY in June 2012, the Company began shipping initial amounts of DEFINITY to customers in late June 2012. BVL has now manufactured and released multiple lots of DEFINITY and Cardiolite, which have been shipped to customers or are currently in the Company's inventory. In the third quarter, the Company fulfilled all of its back-orders for DEFINITY. The Company currently expects its stock out of Neurolite to last through the end of 2012. The Company can give no assurances that BVL will be able to manufacture and release product for the Company on a timely and consistent basis in the future or that the Company will not have short or longer term stock outs in the future.

The Company continues to expedite a number of its technology transfer programs to secure and qualify production of its BVL-manufactured products with alternate contract manufacturer sites. Currently, the Company is utilizing an alternate manufacturer for a portion of its Cardiolite sales demand and has entered into separate manufacturing and supply agreements with Jubilant HollisterStier ("JHS") for the manufacture of each of DEFINITY, Cardiolite and Neurolite. The Company is also pursuing new manufacturing relationships to establish and secure additional long-term or alternative suppliers of Cardiolite, Neurolite and DEFINITY, but is uncertain of the timing as to when the new arrangements with other suppliers would provide meaningful quantities of products to the Company.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company received \$30.0 million from BVL to compensate the Company for its business losses, and BVL and LMI terminated their original manufacturing agreement and entered into (i) a Settlement and Mutual Release Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"), (ii) a

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

1. Business Overview (Continued)

Transition Services Agreement (the "Transition Services Agreement"), and (iii) a Manufacturing and Service Contract (the "Manufacturing and Service Contract").

- In the Settlement Agreement, LMI and BVL agreed to a broad mutual waiver and release for all matters that occurred prior to the date of the Settlement Agreement, a covenant not to sue and a payment in the amount of \$30.0 million from BVL to compensate LMI for business losses.
- Under the Transition Services Agreement, BVL agreed to manufacture for LMI an initial supply of DEFINITY, Cardiolite, Neurolite and certain TechneLite accessories, and agreed to make weekly payments to LMI, up to an aggregate of \$5.0 million as further compensation for business losses until an agreed-upon supply of LMI's products has been restored.
- Under the Manufacturing and Service Contract, BVL agreed to manufacture for LMI certain amounts of DEFINITY, Cardiolite, Neurolite and certain TechneLite accessories following the initial supply provided under the Transition Services Agreement. The agreement expires on December 31, 2013.

The \$30.0 million received upon termination of the Company's original manufacturing agreement and the weekly payments for additional delays under the Transition Services Agreement, which totaled approximately \$35.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, are compensation to the Company for business losses associated with the lack of product supply. As the Company has no remaining obligations associated with the original manufacturing agreement and the price to be paid upon delivery of product under the Transition Services Agreement and Manufacturing and Service Contract are at prices the Company believes are at market prices, the Company has recognized the proceeds as gains within the Company's results of operations. These payments are included within operating income as proceeds from manufacturer. The net proceeds totaled \$0.8 million and \$34.6 million in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

If BVL is not able to continue to manufacture and release adequate product supply on a timely and consistent basis, the Company is not successful with its JHS technology transfer programs and cannot obtain adequate supply from JHS, or the Company is unable to regain and grow sufficient market share with its principal products, then the Company will need to implement additional expense reductions, such as a potential delay or elimination of discretionary spending including possible reductions in sales and marketing and research and development activities, as well as other operating and strategic initiatives.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The more significant estimates reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements include certain judgments regarding revenue recognition, goodwill and intangible asset valuation, inventory valuation and potential losses on purchase commitments, asset retirement obligations, income tax liabilities, deferred tax assets and liabilities, accrued expenses and stock-based compensation. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates or assumptions.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when evidence of an arrangement exists, title has passed, the risks and rewards of ownership have transferred to the customer, the selling price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. For transactions for which revenue recognition criteria have not yet been met, the respective amounts are recorded as deferred revenue until such point in time the criteria are met and revenue can be recognized. Revenue is recognized net of reserves, which consist of allowances for returns and allowances for rebates.

Revenue arrangements with multiple elements are divided into separate units of accounting if certain criteria are met, including whether the delivered element has stand-alone value to the customer. Supply or service transactions may involve the charge of a nonrefundable initial fee with subsequent periodic payments for future products or services. The up-front fees, even if nonrefundable, are earned (and revenue is recognized) as the products and/or services are delivered and performed over the term of the arrangement.

3. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The tables below present information about the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize data points from active markets that are observable, such as quoted prices, interest rates and yield curves. Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs utilize unobservable data points for the asset or liability.

(in thousands)	Total fair value at September 30, 2012		Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)		Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)		Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	
Money market	\$	7,627	\$	7,627	\$	_	\$	_
Certificates of deposit—restricted		329		_		329		_
	\$	7,956	\$	7,627	\$	329	\$	

	Total fair value at December 31,		Quoted prices in active markets		Significant other observable inputs		Significant unobservable inputs	
(in thousands)	 2011	(Level 1)		(Level 2)	(Le	evel 3)	
Money market	\$ 6,024	\$	6,024	\$	_	\$	_	
	\$ 6,024	\$	6,024	\$	_	\$		

In the first quarter of 2012, the Company invested \$0.2 million in a certificate of deposit in which the Company's use of such cash is restricted and is included in the line item "Certificates of deposit—restricted" above. This investment is classified in other current assets on the condensed consolidate balance sheet. The remaining \$0.1 million represents a certificate of deposit that is collateral for a long-term lease and is included in other long-term assets on the condensed consolidated

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

3. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

balance sheet. Certificates of deposit are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as these are not traded on the open market.

At September 30, 2012, the Company had total cash and cash equivalents of \$56.8 million, which included approximately \$7.6 million of money market funds and \$49.2 million of cash on-hand. At December 31, 2011, the Company had total cash and cash equivalents of \$40.6 million, which included approximately \$6.0 million of money market funds and \$34.6 million of cash on-hand.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments, including its cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate the carrying values of these instruments due to their short term nature. The estimated fair value of the debt at September 30, 2012, based on Level 2 inputs of recent market activity available to the Company, was \$377.0 million compared to the face value of \$400.0 million. At December 31, 2011, the estimated fair value of the debt was \$320.0 million compared to the face value of \$400.0 million.

4. Income Taxes

The Company provides for income taxes at the end of each interim period based on the estimated effective tax rate for the full fiscal year in addition to discrete events which impact the interim period. The Company's effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory rate principally due to the rate impact of uncertain tax positions, valuation allowance changes and state taxes. Cumulative adjustments to the tax provision are recorded in the interim period in which a change in the estimated annual effective rate is determined. The Company's tax benefit was \$2.6 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to a tax provision of \$0.5 million and a benefit of \$9.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

The Company has a tax indemnification agreement with BMS related to certain tax obligations arising prior to the acquisition of the Company, for which the Company has the primary legal obligation. The tax indemnification receivable is recognized within other long-term assets. The changes in the tax indemnification asset are recognized within other income, net in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss. In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, the change in the tax liability and penalties and interest associated with these obligations (net of any offsetting federal or state benefit) is recognized within the tax provision. Accordingly, as these liabilities change, adjustments are included in the tax provision while the offsetting adjustment is included in other income. Assuming the receivable from BMS continues to be recoverable, there is no net effect on earnings or cash flows related to changes in these liabilities.

The statute of limitations for the 2008 U.S. tax return expired during the period ending September 30, 2012. As a result, the Company recognized the benefit associated with the reversal of uncertain tax positions of \$1.3 million and taxes payable of \$2.3 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Included in other expense is \$1.3 million relating to the reduction in the indemnification receivable from BMS.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

5. Inventory

The Company includes within current assets the amount of inventory that is estimated to be utilized within twelve months. Inventory that will be utilized after twelve months is classified within other long-term assets.

Inventory, classified in inventory or other long-term assets, consisted of the following:

(in thousands)	-	September 30, 2012		ember 31, 2011
Raw materials	\$	8,166		7,755
Work in process		1,158		2,615
Finished goods		4,323		4,395
Inventory		13,647		14,765
Other long-term assets		2,353		11,249
Total	\$	16,000	\$	26,014

At September 30, 2012, inventories reported as other long-term assets included \$1.5 million of raw materials and \$0.9 million of finished goods. At December 31, 2011, inventories reported as other long-term assets included \$10.7 million of raw materials and \$0.5 million of finished goods.

The Company's Ablavar product was commercially launched in January 2010 and the Company is continuing the process of educating radiologists on optimizing the use of the product within their patient populations. The revenues for this product through September 30, 2012 have not been significant. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the balances of inventory on-hand reflected approximately \$3.1 and \$12.2 million of finished products and raw materials related to Ablavar, respectively. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately \$2.4 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, of Ablavar inventory was included in other long-term assets. LMI has an agreement with a supplier to provide Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient ("API") and finished products for Ablavar under which LMI is required to purchase future minimum quantities. At September 30, 2012, the remaining purchase commitment under the agreement was approximately \$10.7 million. The Company records the inventory when it takes delivery, at which time the Company assumes title and risk of loss.

Prior to the issuance of the June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011 financial statements, the Company performed an analyses of its expected future sales of its Ablavar product and recorded an inventory write-down to cost of goods sold of \$13.5 million and \$12.3 million in the second and fourth quarters of 2011, respectively, which represented the cost of Ablavar finished good product and API that the Company does not believe it will be able to sell prior to its expiration. The Company completed updated sales forecasts for Ablavar based on actual sales through June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011 in consideration of its supply agreement for API. Based on the updated sales forecasts, coupled with the aggregate six-year shelf life of API and finished goods, the Company recorded in cost of goods sold a loss of \$1.9 million and \$3.7 million in the second and fourth quarters of 2011, respectively, for the loss associated with the portion of the committed purchases of Ablavar product that the Company did not believe it would be able to sell prior to its expiration. Additionally, the Company determined that its write-down of Ablavar inventory during the six months ended June 30, 2011 represented an event that warranted assessment of the intellectual property associated with Ablavar for its recoverability and concluded that the intellectual property was not recoverable and

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

5. Inventory (Continued)

in the second quarter of 2011, recorded in cost of goods sold an impairment of this intangible asset of \$23.5 million. See Note 8, "Intangibles, net."

Prior to the issuance of the September 30, 2012 financial statements, the Company implemented a realignment and reduction in the sales force dedicated to Ablavar. The Company performed an analysis of expected future sales of its Ablavar product, based on an updated sales forecast reflecting the reduction in sales force personnel dedicated to Ablavar, and recorded in the third quarter of 2012 to cost of goods sold an inventory write-down of \$10.6 million and a reserve of \$1.9 million associated with the portion of the committed purchases of Ablavar product that the Company does not believe it will sell prior to expiry.

In the event that the Company does not meet its revised sales expectations for Ablavar or cannot sell the product it has committed to purchase prior to its expiration, the Company could incur additional inventory write-downs and/or losses on its purchase commitments.

6. Property, Plant and Equipment, net

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

	September 30,		De	cember 31,
(in thousands)		2012		2011
Land	\$	22,450	\$	22,450
Buildings		64,304		64,029
Machinery, equipment and fixtures		63,580		65,648
Construction in progress		6,614		4,383
Accumulated depreciation		(47,128)		(44,058)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$	109,820	\$	112,452

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, depreciation expense related to property, plant and equipment was \$2.4 million and \$7.2 million, respectively, as compared to \$3.0 million and \$9.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Included within property, plant and equipment are spare parts of approximately \$2.8 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Spare parts include replacement parts relating to plant and equipment and are either recognized as an expense when consumed or reclassified and capitalized as part of the related plant and equipment and depreciated over a time period not exceeding the useful life of the related asset.

7. Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company considers the legal obligation to remediate its facilities upon a decommissioning of its radioactive related operations as an asset retirement obligation. The operations of the Company have radioactive production facilities at its North Billerica, Massachusetts and San Juan, Puerto Rico sites.

The fair value of a liability for asset retirement obligations is recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred. The liability is measured at the present value of the obligation when incurred and is adjusted in subsequent periods as accretion expense is recorded. The corresponding asset retirement

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

7. Asset Retirement Obligations (Continued)

costs are capitalized as part of the carrying value of the related long-lived assets and depreciated over the asset's useful life.

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's asset retirement obligations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

(in thousands)	
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$ 4,868
Net decrease due to changes in estimated future cash flows	(5)
Accretion expense	415
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 5,278

8. Intangibles, net

Intangibles, net consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2012				
		Accumulated		Weighted Average	Amortization
(in thousands)	Cost	amortization	Net	Useful Life	Method
Trademarks	\$ 53,390	\$ 19,002	\$ 34,388	8 years	Straight-line
Customer relationships	114,133	81,349	32,784	19 years	Accelerated
Other patents	42,780	39,088	3,692	2 years	Straight-line
	\$ 210,303	\$ 139,439	\$ 70,864		

		December 31, 2011				
		Accumulated		Weighted Average	Amortization	
(in thousands)	Cost	amortization	Net	Useful Life	Method	
Trademarks	\$ 53,390	\$ 13,779	\$ 39,611	16 years	Straight-line	
Customer relationships	113,480	74,575	38,905	19 years	Accelerated	
Other patents	42,780	38,547	4,233	2 years	Straight-line	
	\$ 209,650	\$ 126,901	\$ 82,749			
Trademarks Customer relationships	\$ 53,390 113,480 42,780	* 13,779 74,575 38,547	\$ 39,611 38,905 4,233	Useful Life 16 years 19 years	Method Straight-li Accelerate	

Prior to the issuance of the Company's June 30, 2011 financial statements, the Company completed an update of its sales forecast based on actual sales results through June 30, 2011 and its forecasted Ablavar sales activity. The Company, using its revised sales forecast, conducted an impairment analysis of its Ablavar patent portfolio as of June 30, 2011 and concluded that the estimate of future undiscounted cash flows associated with the Ablavar product did not exceed the carrying amount of the asset and therefore, the asset would need to be written down to its fair value. In order to calculate the fair value of the Ablavar patent portfolio asset, the Company estimated the future discounted cash flows associated with the Ablavar product and as a result of this analysis, recorded an impairment charge of \$23.5 million to adjust the carrying value to its fair value of zero. This expense was recorded within cost of goods sold in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

8. Intangibles, net (Continued)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded amortization expense for its intangible assets of \$4.0 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, as compared to \$3.2 million and \$14.2 million for the prior year comparative periods.

In the first quarter of 2012, the Company reviewed the estimated useful life of certain of its trademarks. As a result of utilizing the most recent forecasted data, the Company revised its estimate of the remaining useful life of one of its trademarks to five years.

Expected future amortization expense related to the intangible assets is as follows:

(in thousands)	
Remainder of 2012	\$ 4,034
2013	14,480
2014	13,190
2015	11,511
2016	10,754
2017 and thereafter	16,895
	\$ 70,864

9. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses are comprised of the following:

(in thousands)	Sep	tember 30, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Compensation and benefits	\$	6,191	\$	5,501
Accrued interest		14,790		4,886
Accrued professional fees		2,212		1,927
Research and development services		4,501		2,100
Freight, distribution and operations		6,761		2,462
Accrued loss on firm purchase commitment		7,469		954
Marketing expense		1,206		1,104
Accrued rebates, discounts and chargebacks		1,686		1,356
Other		581		659
	\$	45,397	\$	20,949

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had accrued a contract loss of \$7.5 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, associated with the portion of the committed purchases of Ablavar product from the Company's supplier that the Company did not believe it would sell prior to expiry. At September 30, 2012, \$7.5 million was included in accrued expenses. At December 31, 2011, \$1.0 million was included in accrued expenses and \$4.6 million was included in other long-term liabilities.

On March 1, 2012, the Company took action to reduce its workforce in an effort to reduce costs and increase operating efficiency, which resulted in approximately \$0.5 million charge to the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss during the three month period ended March 31, 2012. All amounts for severance and other associated costs have been paid as of September 30, 2012.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

10. Financing Arrangements

Notes

On March 21, 2011, LMI issued \$150.0 million of 9.750% Senior Notes due 2017. The new notes were issued at a price of 101.50% and were issued as additional debt securities under the Indenture pursuant to which LMI previously issued \$250.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 9.750% Senior Notes due 2017. The new notes and the existing 9.750% Senior Notes due 2017 (collectively, the "Notes") vote as one class under the Indenture. As a result, LMI has \$400.0 million in aggregate principal amount of Notes outstanding. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.750% per year, payable on May 15 and November 15 of each year. The Notes mature on May 15, 2017.

Redemption

LMI can redeem the Notes at 100% of the principal amount on May 15, 2016 or thereafter. LMI may also redeem the Notes prior to May 15, 2016 depending on the timing of the redemption during the twelve month period beginning May 15 of each of the years indicated below based on a premium percentage on the principal:

Year	Percentage
2014	104.875%
2015	102.438%
2016	100.000%

In addition, at any time prior to May 15, 2013, LMI may, at its option, redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of Notes issued at 109.750% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date, subject to the right of holders of record on such date to receive any interest due, using proceeds of an equity offering, provided that at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes remains outstanding immediately after such redemption and that such redemption occurs within 90 days of each equity offering (as defined in the Indenture).

At any time prior to May 15, 2014, LMI may also redeem all or a part of the Notes, with notice, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof of the Notes redeemed plus the applicable premium (as defined in the Indenture) as of, and accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest (as defined in the Indenture), if any, to, but not including, the redemption date, subject to the rights of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date.

Upon a change of control (as defined in the Indenture), LMI will be required to make an offer to purchase each holder's Note at a price of 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase.

The Notes are unsecured and are equal in right of payment to all of the existing and future senior debt, including borrowings under its secured credit facilities, subject to the security interest thereof. LMI's obligations under the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on an unsecured senior basis by Lantheus Intermediate and by certain of LMI's subsidiaries, and the obligations of such guaranters under their guarantees are equal in right of payment to all of their existing and future senior debt.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

10. Financing Arrangements (Continued)

Revolving Line of Credit

LMI has a \$42.5 million revolving facility (the "Facility"), which LMI can request the lenders to increase by an additional amount of up to \$15.0 million at the discretion of the Lenders. Interest on the Facility will be at either LIBOR plus 3.75% or the Reference Rate (as defined in the Facility) plus 2.75%. The Facility expires on May 10, 2014, at which time all outstanding borrowings are due and payable.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there was no outstanding balance under the Facility and the aggregate borrowing capacity was \$33.7 million and \$42.5 million, respectively. The availability under the Facility decreased in the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 due to an unfunded Standby Letter of Credit of \$8.8 million. The Standby Letter of Credit expires February 2, 2013.

Covenants

The Notes and the Facility each contain separate affirmative and negative covenants, as well as restrictions on the ability of Lantheus Intermediate (in the case of the Facility), LMI and LMI's subsidiaries (in the case of the Notes and the Facility), to: (i) incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock; (ii) repay subordinated indebtedness prior to its stated maturity; (iii) pay dividends on, repurchase or make distributions in respect of its capital stock or make other restricted payments; (iv) make certain investments; (v) sell certain assets; (vi) create liens; (vii) consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of the Company's assets; and (viii) enter into certain transactions with the Company's affiliates. The Notes contain customary events of default provisions, including payment default and cross-acceleration for non-payment of any outstanding indebtedness, where such indebtedness exceeds \$10.0 million. The Facility also contains customary default provisions and the Company is required to comply with financial covenants in the Facility including a total leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio, beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2010, as well as limitations on the amount of capital expenditures. The financial ratios are driven by the Company's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") and other adjustments as defined in the Facility ("Facility EBITDA"). On January 26, 2012 and October 11, 2012, the Company executed amendments to the Facility which revised the financial covenants, certain definitions used to calculate compliance with those covenants and the definition of annualized EBITDA from a trailing twelve month basis to an annualized basis beginning in the first quarter of 2013. The financial covenants prior to the October 11, 2012 amendment are displayed in the table below.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

10. Financing Arrangements (Continued)

Revolving Credit Facility Financial Covenants (Prior to the October 11, 2012 Amendment)

Period	Total Leverage Ratio	Interest Coverage Ratio
Q1 2012	6.80 to 1.00	1.40 to 1.00
Q2 2012	7.55 to 1.00	1.30 to 1.00
Q3 2012	6.70 to 1.00	1.40 to 1.00
Q4 2012	5.50 to 1.00	1.80 to 1.00
Q1 2013	4.60 to 1.00	2.00 to 1.00
Q2 2013	4.60 to 1.00	2.10 to 1.00
Q3 2013	4.25 to 1.00	2.15 to 1.00
Q4 2013	4.25 to 1.00	2.15 to 1.00
Q1 2014	3.75 to 1.00	2.25 to 1.00
Thereafter	3.75 to 1.00	2.25 to 1.00

The revised financial covenants under the October 11, 2012 amendment are displayed in the table below.

Revolving Credit Facility Financial Covenants per the October 11, 2012 Amendment

<u>Period</u>	Total <u>Leverage Ratio</u>	Interest Coverage Ratio
Q3 2012	7.25 to 1.00	1.20 to 1.00
Q4 2012	8.00 to 1.00	1.20 to 1.00
Q1 2013	7.60 to 1.00	1.30 to 1.00
Q2 2013	7.50 to 1.00	1.35 to 1.00
Q3 2013	6.90 to 1.00	1.40 to 1.00
Q4 2013	6.60 to 1.00	1.50 to 1.00
Q1 2014	6.60 to 1.00	1.50 to 1.00
Thereafter	6.60 to 1.00	1.50 to 1.00

As of September 30, 2012 and the date hereof, other than the unfunded Standby Letter of Credit in the amount of \$8.8 million, there were no amounts outstanding under the Facility.

Financing Costs

LMI incurred and capitalized approximately \$15.6 million in direct financing fees, including \$5.2 million associated with the additional Notes issued in March 2011, consisting primarily of underwriting fees and expenses, consent solicitation fee, legal fees, accounting fees and printing costs in connection with the issuance of the Notes and the Facility. Deferred financing costs are being amortized over the life of the Notes and the Facility, as appropriate, using the effective interest method and are included in interest expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss.

In connection with the January 26, 2012 amendment to the Facility, LMI incurred approximately \$0.2 million in fees associated with this amendment, which is being amortized over the remaining life of

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

10. Financing Arrangements (Continued)

the Facility using the straight-line method and is included in interest expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

On October 11, 2012, LMI executed another amendment to the Facility which revised the financial covenants. LMI incurred approximately \$0.2 million in lender fees associated with this amendment, which will be amortized over the remaining life of the Facility.

11. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's employees are eligible to receive awards from Holdings' 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan"). The 2008 Plan is administered by the Holdings Board of Directors. The 2008 Plan permits the granting of nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights (or SARs), restricted stock and restricted stock units to employees, officers, directors and consultants of Holdings or any subsidiary of Holdings (including Intermediate and LMI). The maximum number of shares that may be issued pursuant to awards under the 2008 Plan at September 30, 2012 is 4,965,230. Option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of Holdings' stock at the date of grant, as determined by the Board of Directors of Holdings. Time based option awards vest based on time, either four or five years, and performance based option awards vest based on the performance criteria specified in the grant. All option awards have a ten year contractual term. The Company recognizes compensation costs for its time based awards on a straight-line basis equal to the vesting period. The compensation cost for performance based awards is recognized on a graded vesting basis, based on the probability of achieving the performance targets over the requisite service period for the entire award. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatilities are based on the historic volatility of a selected peer group. Expected dividends represent the dividends expected to be issued at the date of grant. The expected term of options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate assumption is the seven-year U.S. Treasury rate at the date of the grant which most closely resembles the expected life of the options.

The Company uses the following Black-Scholes inputs to determine the fair value of new stock option grants.

	Three Months		Nine Months		
	Ended Se	eptember 30,	Ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Expected volatility	39%	39 - 40%	39 - 41%	33 - 40%	
Expected dividends	_	_	_		
Expected life (in years)	5.5	6.5	5.5 - 6.5	6.5	
Risk-free interest rate	0.7%	1.9 - 2.2%	0.7% - 1.4%	1.9 - 2.9%	

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

11. Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

A summary of option activity for 2012 is presented below:

				_	Remaining	
	Time Based	Performance Based	Total	Exercise Price	Contractual Term	Aggregate
Outstanding at	Time Baseu	Daseu	10141	File	Term	Intrinsic Value
January 1,						
2012	2,287,600	1,307,538	3,595,138	\$ 2.90	6.4	\$22,787,000
Options granted	148,000	103,000	251,000	8.27		
Options						
cancelled	13,100	8,668	21,768	3.02		
Options						
exercised	16,500	4,720	21,220	4.69		
Options						
forfeited or						
expired	29,150	24,350	53,500	6.89		
Outstanding at						
September 30,						
2012	2,376,850	1,372,800	3,749,650	3.20	5.8	\$17,218,000
Vested and						
expected to						
vest at						
September 30,						
2012	2,364,873	1,002,450	3,367,322	3.18	5.8	\$15,474,000
Exercisable at						
September 30,						
2012	1,761,100	824,950	2,586,050	\$ 2.27	5.4	\$13,715,000

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$3.06 and \$3.45, as compared to \$4.25 and \$4.05 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. There were 12,500 and 251,000 options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. There were 55,000 options granted during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 297,000 options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, 21,220 and 14,650 stock options, respectively, were exercised on a cashless basis for which 9,085 and 4,629 shares of common stock, respectively, were issued. The intrinsic value for the options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, was approximately \$79,000 and \$46,000, respectively.

Stock-based compensation expense (income) for both time based and performance based awards was recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss as follows:

	_	En	Months ded iber 30,	En	Months aded mber 30,
(in thousands)	<u>.</u>	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cost of goods sold	9	30	\$ 20	\$ 47	\$ (12)
General and administrative		175	152	811	(46)
Sales and marketing		24	(51)	80	(1,069)
Research and development	_	47	22	128	(2)

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

11. Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

Stock-based compensation expense (income) recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was based on awards ultimately expected to vest as well as any changes in the probability of achieving certain performance features as required. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense associated with the modification of three option agreements, two of which were effected in the first quarter of 2012 and one in the third quarter of 2012. The modifications of these awards affected the vesting terms of the awards, allowing vesting to continue beyond the last day of employment, so long as the option holders, whom are no longer employees, continue to provide services to the Company or Avista Capital Partners, the majority stockholder of the Company's ultimate parent, as applicable. The Company will remeasure the fair value of these options at each reporting period until the services are completed.

The Company used the following Black-Scholes inputs to determine the fair value of stock options that were modified during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 and the quarter ended September 30, 2012. There were no stock option modifications during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

	Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended
	March 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Expected volatility	30 - 36%	31%
Expected dividends	_	_
Expected term (in years)	0.3 - 3.5	3.3
Risk-free interest rate	0.3 - 0.8%	0.3%

The Company used the following Black-Scholes inputs to remeasure the fair value of stock options that were modified during 2012 as of September 30, 2012.

Expected volatility	30.3%
Expected dividends	
Expected term (in years)	2.8 - 3.3
Risk-free interest rate	0.3%

Upon termination of employee services, the Company has the right to call shares held by employees, that were purchased or acquired through option exercise. As a result of this right, upon termination of service, vested stock-based awards are reclassified to liability based awards until the period of probable exercise has lapsed. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had recorded a liability and compensation expense of approximately \$9,000 representing 5,895 options relating to liability awards that could be settled in part or in whole, in cash in the following period. The Company did not have any awards classified as liabilities as of December 31, 2011. There were no liability awards paid out in the first nine months of 2012 or 2011. The Company recorded a benefit of approximately \$1.0 million in the three month period ended March 31, 2011 related to 2010 liability awards which expired during the period.

The Company did not recognize an income tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 or September 30, 2011 associated with option awards. As of September 30, 2012, there were approximately \$1.5 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock options granted under the 2008 Plan. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

11. Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

remaining period of 0.7 years. In addition, performance based awards contain certain contingent features, such as change in control provisions, which allow for the vesting of awards which did not previously meet the performance criteria. As of September 30, 2012, there was approximately \$1.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense relating to these features, which could be recognized through 2018 or longer.

12. Other (Expense) Income, net

Other (expense) income, net consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine M End Septem	led
(in thousands)	2012	2011	2012	2011
Foreign currency (losses) gains	\$ (12)	\$ (80)	\$ (344)	\$ 22
Tax indemnification (expense) income	(882)	390	(52)	1,160
Other income	60	45	148	116
Total other (expense) income, net	\$ (834)	\$ 355	\$ (248)	\$ 1,298

13. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies

From time to time, the Company is a party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company has in the past been, and may in the future be, subject to investigations by regulatory authorities which expose it to greater risks associated with litigation, regulatory or other proceedings, as a result of which the Company could be required to pay significant fines or penalties. The outcome of litigation, regulatory or other proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, and some lawsuits, claims, actions or proceedings may be disposed of unfavorably to the Company. In addition, intellectual property disputes often have a risk of injunctive relief which, if imposed against the Company, could materially and adversely affect its financial condition or results of operations.

On December 16, 2010, LMI filed suit against one of its insurance carriers seeking to recover business interruption losses associated with the NRU reactor shutdown and the ensuing global Moly supply challenge (*Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc., Plaintiff v. Zurich American Insurance Company, Defendant,* United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 10 Civ 9371). The claim is the result of the shutdown of the NRU reactor in Chalk River, Ontario. The NRU reactor was off-line from May 2009 until August 2010 due to a "heavy water" leak in the reactor vessel. The defendant answered the complaint on January 21, 2011, denying substantially all of the allegations, presenting certain defenses and requesting dismissal of the case with costs and disbursements. On April 4, 2011, the parties had their first pre-trial conference in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and discovery has commenced and is continuing. The Company cannot be certain what amount, if any, or when, if ever, it will be able to recover for business interruption losses related to this matter.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

14. Related Party Transactions

At December 31, 2011, LMI had an outstanding receivable from Holdings in the amount of \$1.3 million, which was included in due from parent. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, LMI has reclassified the outstanding receivable from Holdings of \$1.2 million to stockholder's deficit since Holdings does not have assets sufficient to repay amounts due to LMI at September 30, 2012.

In the third quarter of 2012, the Company entered into a Master Contract Research Organization Services Agreement with INC Research, LLC ("INC") to provide clinical development services in relation to the flurpiridaz F 18 Phase III program. The agreement has a term of five years, and the Company incurred costs associated with this agreement of approximately \$0.2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Avista Capital Partners and its affiliate are principal owners of both INC and the Company.

15. Segment Information

The Company reports two operating segments, U.S. and International, based on geographic customer base. The results of these operating segments are regularly reviewed by our chief operating decision maker, the President and Chief Executive Officer. The Company's segments derive revenues through the manufacturing, marketing, selling and distribution of medical imaging products, focused primarily on cardiovascular diagnostic imaging. The U.S. segment comprises 74.7% and 71.8% of consolidated revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to 76.6% and 75.9% for the prior year comparative periods and 85.6% and 85.5% of consolidated assets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. All goodwillhas been allocated to the U.S. operating segment.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

15. Segment Information (Continued)

Selected information for each business segment are as follows (in thousands):

	_	Three Months Ended September 30,			hs Ended ber 30,
		2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues					
U.S.	\$	60,108	\$ 70,239	\$ 169,297	\$ 226,367
International		18,672	20,165	60,918	66,112
Total revenue, including inter-segment		78,780	90,404	230,215	292,479
Less inter-segment revenue		(5,035)	(4,172)	(14,358)	(17,709)
	\$	73,745	\$ 86,232	\$ 215,857	\$ 274,770
Revenues from external customers					
U.S.	\$	55,073	\$ 66,067	\$ 154,939	\$ 208,658
International		18,672	20,165	60,918	66,112
	\$	73,745	\$ 86,232	\$ 215,857	\$ 274,770
Operating (loss) income					
U.S.	\$	(21,580)	\$ 3,867	\$ (12,291)	\$ (13,176)
International		1,848	2,317	9,634	9,449
Total operating income (loss), including inter-segment		(19,732)	6,184	(2,657)	(3,727)
Inter-segment operating income (loss)		(265)	2,436	787	3,312
Operating (loss) income		(19,997)	8,620	(1,870)	(415)
Interest expense, net		(10,464)	(10,517)	(31,277)	(27,887)
Other (expense) income, net		(834)	355	(248)	1,298
Loss before income taxes	\$	(31,295)	\$ (1,542)	\$ (33,395)	\$ (27,004)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
U.S.	\$ 299,84	7 \$ 306,615
International	50,379	9 52,189
	\$ 350,220	5 \$ 358,804

16. Guarantor Financial Information

The 9.750% Senior Notes due 2017 (see Note 10) are guaranteed by Lantheus Intermediate and Lantheus MI Real Estate, LLC, one of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries (the "Guarantor Subsidiary"). The guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. The following supplemental financial information sets forth, on a condensed consolidating basis, balance sheet information as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, comprehensive (loss) income information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and cash flow information for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 for Lantheus Intermediate, LMI, the Guarantor Subsidiary and Lantheus Intermediate's other subsidiaries (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). The supplemental financial information reflects the investments of Lantheus Intermediate in LMI and Lantheus Intermediate's investment in the Guarantor Subsidiary and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries using the equity method of accounting.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Consolidating Balance Sheet Information

September 30, 2012

	Lantheus		Guarantor	Non- Guarantor		
(in thousands)	Intermediate	LMI	Subsidiary	Subsidiaries I	Eliminations	Total
Assets						
Cash and cash			_			
equivalents	\$ -\$	36,379	\$ —	\$ 20,465 \$	S — \$	56,844
Accounts						
receivable,				44.40=		44.0=4
net	_	30,389	_	11,485	_	41,874
Intercompany						
accounts		2.412			(2.412)	
receivable	_	2,412	_	2 (40	(2,412)	12 (47
Inventory	_	11,007		2,640	_	13,647
Income tax		1.057		00		1 155
receivable Deferred tax	_	1,057	_	98	_	1,155
				112		112
assets Other current	_	_	_	112	_	112
assets		3,181		255		3,436
		3,161				3,430
Total current						=
assets	_	84,425	_	35,055	(2,412)	117,068
Property, plant						
and .						
equipment,		70.404	22.215	0.101		100.020
net	_	78,424	23,215	8,181	_	109,820
Capitalized						
software						
development		2.615		_		2.620
costs	_	2,615	_	5	_	2,620
Intangibles, net Goodwill	_	63,950	_	6,914	_	70,864
Deferred	_	15,714	_	_	_	15,714
financing costs		11 712				11 712
Investment in		11,712				11,712
subsidiaries	(164,640)	64,511			100,129	
Other long-	(104,040)	04,311		_	100,129	_
term assets		22,204		224		22,428
	Φ (1 C 1 C 1 O) Φ		Ф 22 215			
Total assets	\$ (164,640)\$	545,555	\$ 23,215	\$ 50,379	97,717\$	350,226
Liabilities and						
(deficit)						
equity						
Current portion						
of long-term						

debt	\$:	\$ 155 \$	-\$	—\$	_ 5	155
Accounts						
payable	_	21,935	_	2,472	_	24,407
Intercompany						
accounts						
payable	_	_	_	2,412	(2,412)	_
Accrued						
expenses		42,348	_	3,049	_	45,397
Deferred						
revenue		5,046		153		5,199
Total current						
liabilities	_	69,484	_	8,086	(2,412)	75,158
Asset						
retirement						
obligation	_	5,135	_	143	_	5,278
Long-term						
debt, net	_	398,774	_	_	_	398,774
Deferred tax						
liability	_	_	_	589	_	589
Other long-						
term						
liabilities		34,802		265		35,067
Total						
liabilities	_	508,195	_	9,083	(2,412)	514,866
(Deficit) equity	(164,640)	(164,640)	23,215	41,296	100,129	(164,640)
Total			"			
liabilities						
and						
(deficit)						
equity	\$ (164,640)	\$ 343,555 \$	3 23,215 \$	50,379 \$	97,7175	\$ 350,226

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Consolidating Balance Sheet Information

December 31, 2011

	Lantheus		Guarantor (Non- Guarantor		
(in thousands)	Intermediate	LMI		ubsidiaries El	iminations	Total
Assets						
Cash and cash						
equivalents	\$ -\$	20,474	\$ \$	20,133 \$	— \$	40,607
Accounts						
receivable,						
net	_	27,872	_	12,128	_	40,000
Intercompany						
accounts						
receivable	_	1,414	_	_	(1,414)	_
Inventory	_	12,269	_	2,496	_	14,765
Deferred tax						
assets	_		_	93	_	93
Other current						
assets	_	2,349	_	313	_	2,662
Total current					_	
assets		64,378		35,163	(1,414)	98,127
Property, plant						
and						
equipment,						
net	_	80,225	23,275	8,952	_	112,452
Capitalized						
software						
development						
costs	_	3,575	_	7		3,582
Intangibles, net	_	74,775	_	7,974	_	82,749
Goodwill		15,714	_			15,714
Deferred						
financing						
costs	_	13,141	_	_	_	13,141
Investment in						
subsidiaries	(133,203)	66,983	_	_	66,220	_
Due from						
parent	_	1,286	_	_	_	1,286
Other long-						
term assets	_	31,659	_	94		31,753
Total assets	\$ (133,203)\$	351,736	\$ 23,275	5 52,190 \$	64,806 \$	358,804
Liabilities and						
(deficit)						
equity						
Accounts						

payable	\$ -:	\$ 19,738 \$	—\$	2,272 \$	— \$	22,010
Intercompany						
accounts						
payable	_	_		1,414	(1,414)	
Accrued						
expenses	_	17,780	_	3,169	_	20,949
Income tax						
payable	_	1,595	_	(113)		1,482
Deferred		2.712		206		2.010
revenue		3,712		206		3,918
Total current						
liabilities	_	42,825		6,948	(1,414)	48,359
Asset						
retirement						
obligation	_	4,737	_	131	_	4,868
Long-term		200 (20				200 (20
debt, net Deferred tax	<u> </u>	398,629	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	398,629
liability				931		931
Other long-	<u>—</u>		_	931	<u>—</u>	931
term						
liabilities		38,748		472		39,220
Total						
liabilities		484,939		8,482	(1,414)	492,007
(Deficit) equity	(133 203)	(133,203)	23,275	43,708	66,220	(133,203)
Total	(133,203)	(133,203)	23,273	13,700	00,220	(133,203)
liabilities						
and						
(deficit)						
equity	\$ (133.203)	\$ 351,736 \$	23.275 \$	52,190 \$	64.806.\$	358,804
equity	Ψ (133,203)	φ 331,730 Φ	23,213 Φ	52,170 Ψ	σ1,000 φ	220,00т

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

					Non-		
G	n thousands)	Lantheus Intermediate	LMI		Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
(1	Net product	mermediate	Livii	Subsidiary	Substatics	Emmations	Total
	revenues	\$ —	\$ 59,551	\$ —	\$ 16,646	\$ (5,034)\$	5 71,163
	License and	-	+ -,	Ŧ	7,	(0,000)	, , , , , , ,
	other revenues	_	2,582	_	_	_	2,582
	Total						
	revenues	_	62,133	_	16,646	(5,034)	73,745
	Cost of goods		, , , ,		-,-	(-,,	,.
	sold	_	55,301	_	14,847	(5,034)	65,114
	Loss on firm						
	purchase						
	commitment	_	1,859	_	_	_	1,859
	Total cost of						
	goods sold	_	57,160	_	14,847	(5,034)	66,973
	Gross profit		4,973		1,799		6,772
_	perating						
	expenses						
	General and						
	administrative						
	expenses	_	7,253	20	528	_	7,801
	Sales and		ĺ				Ź
	marketing						
	expenses	_	8,585	_	672		9,257
	Research and						
	development						
	expenses	_	10,484	_	27	_	10,511
	Proceeds from						
	manufacturer		(800)				(800)
	Operating						
	income						
	(loss)	_	(20,549)	(20)	572	_	(19,997)
	Interest expense,						
	net	_	(10,509)) —	45	_	(10,464)
	Other expense,		(0.00)				(0.0.4)
	net	_	(830)) —	(4))	(834)
	Equity in						
	earnings (losses) of						
	affiliates	(28,721)	382			28,339	
		(20,721)	362			20,339	
	Income (loss)						
	before income						
	taxes	(28 721)	(31,506)) (20)) 613	28,339	(31,295)
	tanes	(20,721)	(31,300)	(20)	, 013	20,339	(31,233)

	Provision						
	(benefit) for						
	income taxes	_	(2,785)	_	211	_	(2,574)
	Net income						
	(loss)	\$ (28,721)	\$(28,721)\$	(20)5	\$ 402	\$ 28,339	\$(28,721)
F	Foreign currency						
	translation, net of						
	taxes	_	_	_	1,021	_	1,021
F	Equity in other						
	comprehensive						
	income (loss) of						
	subsidiaries	1,021	1,021	_	_	(2,042) —
	Total						
	comprehensive						
	(loss) income	\$ (27,700)	\$(27,700)\$	(20)	1,423	\$ 26,297	\$(27,700)

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

			a .	Non-		
(in thousands)	Lantheus Intermediate	LMI		Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net product						
revenues	\$ —	\$ 70,912	\$ —	\$ 17,351	\$ (4,172)\$	84,091
License and						
other revenues	_	2,141	_	_		2,141
Total						
revenues	_	73,053	_	17,351	(4,172)	86,232
Cost of goods						
sold	_	37,496	_	15,619	(4,172)	48,943
Gross profit		35,557		1,732	_	37,289
Operating						
expenses						
General and						
administrative						
expenses	_	8,031	20	630	_	8,681
Sales and						
marketing						
expenses	_	8,725	_	925	_	9,650
Research and						
development		40.40=				40.000
expenses		10,195		143		10,338
Operating						
income		0.606	(20)			0.400
(loss)		8,606	(20)) 34	_	8,620
Interest expense,		(10.500)	`	82		(10.517)
net Other income,	_	(10,599)) —	02	_	(10,517)
net		506		(151)	_	355
Equity in		300		(131)		333
earnings						
(losses) of						
affiliates	(1,994)	(189)) —	_	2,183	_
Income (loss)						
before						
income						
taxes	(1,994)	(1,676	(20)	(35)	2,183	(1,542)
Provision						
(benefit) for						
income taxes		318	(7)	141		452
Net income						
(loss)	\$ (1,994)	\$ (1,994))\$ (13))\$ (176)	\$ 2,183 \$	(1,994)
Foreign currency						

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

(in thousands)	Lantheus Intermediate	LMI		Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net product						
revenues	\$ —	\$171,593	\$ _	\$ 50,604	\$ (14,358)	\$207,839
License and		. ,		,	. (, ,	,
other revenues	_	8,018	_	_	_	8,018
Total						
revenues	_	179,611	_	50,604	(14.358)	215,857
Cost of goods		, .		,	(,)	,,,,,,,
sold	_	135,899	_	44,734	(14,358)	166,275
Loss on firm						
purchase						
commitment	_	1,859	_	_	_	1,859
Total cost of						
goods sold	_	137,758	_	44,734	(14,358)	168,134
Gross profit		41,853		5,870		47,723
				3,070		17,723
Operating						
expenses General and						
administrative						
expenses		23,039	60	1,661		24,760
Sales and	_	23,039	00	1,001	_	24,700
marketing						
expenses	_	25,580	_	2,585		28,165
Research and		20,000		2,000		20,100
development						
expenses	_	31,167	·	115	_	31,282
Proceeds from		,				ŕ
manufacturer	_	(34,614)) —	_	_	(34,614)
Operating						
income						
(loss)	_	(3,319)	(60	1,509	_	(1,870)
Interest expense,		, , ,		,		())
net	_	(31,475) —	198	_	(31,277)
Other expense,						
net	_	(175)) —	(73)) —	(248)
Equity in						
earnings						
(losses) of						
affiliates	(32,451)	1,252			31,199	
Income (loss)						
before						

income						
taxes	(32,451)	(33,717)	(60)	1,634	31,199	(33,395)
Provision for						
income taxes	_	(1,266)	_	322	_	(944)
Net income						
(loss)	\$ (32,451)\$	8 (32,451)\$	(60)\$	1,312\$	31,1998	8 (32,451)
Foreign currency						
translation, net of						
towas		• • • •				
taxes		200	_	999		1,199
Equity in other	<u> </u>	200	_	999		1,199
	_	200	_	999		1,199
Equity in other	_	200	_	999	_	1,199
Equity in other comprehensive	1,199	999	_	999	(2,198)	1,199
Equity in other comprehensive income (loss) of subsidiaries	1,199		_	999	(2,198)	1,199
Equity in other comprehensive income (loss) of subsidiaries Total	1,199		_ 	999 —	(2,198)	1,199
Equity in other comprehensive income (loss) of subsidiaries Total comprehensive	1,199 \$ (31,252)\$	999		999 		1,199 ——————————————————————————————————

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

(in thousands)	Lantheus Intermediate	LMI		Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
	Net product						
	revenues	\$ —	\$229,257	\$ —	\$ 56,777	\$ (17,709)	\$268,325
	License and						
	other revenues	_	6,445	_	_	_	6,445
	Total						
	revenues	_	235,702	_	56,777	(17,709)	274,770
	Cost of goods						
	sold	_	157,168	. —	48,980	(17,709)	188,439
	Loss on firm						
	purchase						
	commitment		1,879				1,879
	Total cost of						
	goods sold	_	159,047	_	48,980	(17,709)	190,318
	Gross profit		76,655		7,797		84,452
(Operating						
	expenses						
	General and						
	administrative						
	expenses	_	21,956	60	1,919	_	23,935
	Sales and						
	marketing						
	expenses	_	26,507	_	3,240	_	29,747
	Research and						
	development						
	expenses		30,649		536		31,185
	Operating						
	income						
	(loss)	_	(2,457)	(60)	2,102	_	(415)
	Interest expense,						
	net	_	(28,116) —	229	_	(27,887)
	Other income,						
	net	_	1,366	_	(68)) —	1,298
	Equity in						
	earnings						
	(losses) of	(17.060	2.000			15.050	
	affiliates	(17,960)	2,008			15,952	

Income (loss)

before

income

taxes	(17,960)	(27,199)	(60)	2,263	15,952	(27,004)
Provision						
(benefit) for						
income taxes	_	(9,239)	(21)	216	_	(9,044)
Net income						
(loss)	\$ (17,960)	\$(17,960)\$	(39)\$	2,047 \$	15,952	\$ (17,960)
Foreign currency						
translation, net of	f					
taxes	_	_	_	(529)	_	(529)
Total						
comprehensive	e					
(loss) income	\$ (17,960)	\$ (17,960)\$	(39)\$	1,518\$	15,952	\$ (18,489)

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Cash Flow Information

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Lantheus		Guarantor	Non- Guarantor			
	Intermediate	LMI		Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total	
Cash provided							
by operating							
activities	\$	\$22,111	<u> </u>	\$ 4,823	\$ (4,723)	\$22,211	
Cash flows							
from							
investing							
activities							
Purchase of							
certificate of		(225)				(225)	
deposit	_	(225)	_	_	_	(225)	
Capital expenditures	_	(4,452)		(448)		(4,900)	
Cash used in		(1,132)		(110)	<u> </u>	(1,500)	
investing							
activities	_	(4,677)	_	(448)		(5,125)	
Cash flows		(1,077)			<u> </u>	(0,120)	
from							
financing							
activities							
Payments on							
note payable	_	(1,375)	_	_	_	(1,375)	
Payments of		() /					
deferred							
financing							
costs	_	(198)		_	_	(198)	
Due from							
parent	_	44	_	_	_	44	
Payment of dividend				(4.702)	4.702		
W				(4,723)	4,723		
Cash used in							
financing activities		(1,529)		(4,723)	4,723	(1,529)	
		(1,329)		(4,723)	7,723	(1,329)	
Effect of foreign exchange rate							
on cash		_	_	680	_	680	
Increase in cash							
and cash							
equivalents	_	15,905	_	332	_	16,237	
Cash and cash		,- ,-				,	

equivalents,						
beginning of						
period	_	20,474	_	20,133	_	40,607
Cash and cash						
equivalents,						
end of period	\$ —	\$36,379	\$ —	\$ 20,465	\$ —	\$56,844

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

16. Guarantor Financial Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Cash Flow Information

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

	Lantheus		Guarantor	Non- Guarantor		
C1	Intermediate	LMI	Subsidiary	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Cash provided by operating						
activities	\$ 600 5	19.020	¢	\$ 7,037	\$ (600)	\$ 25.057
	\$ 000 3	18,020	<u> </u>	\$ 7,037	\$ (600)	\$ 25,057
Cash flows						
from						
investing activities						
Capital expenditures		(5,959)	`	(400)		(6,359)
Proceeds from	<u>—</u>	(3,939)) —	(400)	_	(0,339)
dividend	149,400	_			(149,400)	
	117,100				(11),100)	
Cash provided by (used in)						
investing						
activities	149,400	(5,959)) —	(400)	(149,400)	(6,359)
Cash flows		(0,,,,,	<u></u>		(11),100)	(0,00)
from						
financing						
activities						
Proceeds from						
issuance of						
debt, net	_	152,250	_	_	_	152,250
Consent		132,230				132,230
solicitation						
fee	_	(3,750)) —	_	_	(3,750)
Borrowings						
under line of						
credit	_	10,000	_	_	_	10,000
Repayments						
of line of						
credit	_	(10,000)) —	_		(10,000)
Payments of						
deferred						
financing						
costs	_	(5,453)	—	_		(5,453)
Payment of	(150,000)	(150,000)			150.000	(150,000)
dividend	(150,000)	(150,000))		150,000	(150,000)
Cash used in						
financing						

activities	(150,000)	(6,953)	_	_	150,000	(6,953)
Effect of foreign exchange rate		_				
on cash	_	_	_	(595)	_	(595)
Increase in cash						
equivalents	_	5,108	_	6,042	_	11,150
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of						
period		19,079		13,927	_	33,006
Cash and cash equivalents,						
end of period	\$ 5	\$ 24,187 \$	<u> </u>	19,969	<u> </u>	\$ 44,156

17. Subsequent Events

On October 11, 2012, the Company executed an amendment to the Facility which revised the financial covenants. LMI incurred approximately \$0.2 million in fees associated with this amendment, which will be amortized over the remaining life of the Facility. See Note 10, "Financing Arrangements."

On October 19, 2012, the Company entered into Amendment No. 2 (the "Nordion Amendment") with Nordion, effective as of October 15, 2012, to the Molybdenum-99 Purchase and Supply Agreement, dated April 1, 2010. Beginning November 1, 2012, LMI will be committed to purchasing a minimum supply of molybdenum-99 ("Moly") based upon a declining percentage of LMI's total requirement at a lower average purchase price and extended the commitment from 2013 to 2015. As a result, the cumulative projected future commitments to Nordion under the extended agreement are expected to increase.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements (Continued)

17. Subsequent Events (Continued)

On October 30, 2012, the Company entered into Amendment No. 3 (the "NTP Amendment") with NTP Radioisotopes (Pty) Ltd., effective as of October 1, 2012, to the Molybdenum-99 Sales Agreement, dated April 1, 2009. The NTP Amendment extends the contract term of the agreement from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2017 andmodifies the Company's future purchase volumes and supply fees. The NTP Amendment also provides for the increased supply of Moly derived from low enriched uranium targets ("LEU") from NTP and Australian Nuclear Science Technology Organisation. On November 1, 2012, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") announced the 2013 final Medicare payment rules for hospital outpatient settings and physician offices. Under the final rules, CMS will reimburse an incremental \$10 for each technetium dose produced from a generator for a diagnostic procedure in a hospital outpatient setting that is reimbursed by Medicare if such technetium is produced from a generator containing Moly sourced from at least 95 percent LEU.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements contained in this quarterly report are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, including, in particular, statements about our plans, strategies, prospects and industry estimates. These statements identify prospective information and include words such as "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "seeks," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "should," "predicts," "hopes" and similar expressions. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements we make regarding: (i) our liquidity, including our belief that our existing cash, cash equivalents and anticipated revenues are sufficient to fund our existing operating expenses, capital expenditures and liquidity requirements for at least the next twelve months; (ii) our outlook and expectations including, without limitation, in connection with continued market expansion and penetration for our commercial products, including DEFINITY and TechneLite; (iii) expected new product launch dates and market exclusivity periods; (iv) outlook and expectations related to product manufactured at Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc., or BVL; and (v) supply availability from new manufacturers. The foregoing is not an exclusive list of all forward-looking statements we make. Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding our business, the economy and other future conditions. Because forwardlooking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. Our actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. They are neither statements of historical fact nor guarantees or assurances of future performance. The matters referred to in the forward-looking statements contained in this quarterly report may not in fact occur. We caution you therefore against relying on any of these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include regional, national or global political, economic, business, competitive, market and regulatory conditions and the following:

- our dependence upon third parties for the manufacture and supply of a substantial portion of our non-radioactive products, including our current dependence on BVL, as the sole source manufacturer for DEFINITY and Neurolite and as our primary manufacturer for Cardiolite products;
- risks associated with BVL's manufacturing of our products and the regulatory requirements related thereto;
- risks associated with the technology transfer programs to secure production of our BVL-manufactured products from alternate contract manufacturer sites;
- our dependence on a limited number of third-party suppliers and the instability of global molybdenum-99 (or Moly) supply;
- a sustained decrease in TechneLite generator demand following the end of the global Moly shortage;
- our dependence on key customers, primarily Cardinal Health, Inc., or Cardinal, United Pharmacy Partners, Inc., or UPPI, and GE Healthcare, for our nuclear imaging products, and our ability to renew and maintain our contracts and relationships with those key customers;
- our potential inability to compete effectively;
- ongoing generic competition to Cardiolite products;
- our dependence upon third-party healthcare payors and the uncertainty of third-party coverage and reimbursement rates;

- uncertainties regarding the impact of U.S. healthcare reform on our business, including related reimbursements of our products;
- our being subject to extensive government regulation and our potential inability to comply with such regulations;
- the extensive costs, time and uncertainty associated with new product development, including further product development in cooperation with a development partner or partners;
- potential liability associated with our marketing and sales practices;
- the occurrence of any side effects with our products;
- our inability to introduce new products and adapt to an evolving technology and diagnostic landscape, such as the much slower than anticipated market acceptance of Ablavar;
- our exposure to potential product liability claims and environmental liability;
- our inability to protect our intellectual property and the risk of claims that we have infringed on the intellectual property of others;
- risks associated with the current economic environment, including the U.S. credit markets;
- risks associated with our international operations;
- our inability to adequately protect our technology infrastructure;
- our inability to hire or retain skilled employees and the loss of any of our key personnel;
- costs and other risks associated with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010: and
- risks related to our outstanding indebtedness and our ability to satisfy such obligation.

Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those that are discussed in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Any forward-looking statement made by us in this quarterly report speaks only as of the date on which it is made. Factors or events that could cause our actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of them. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as may be required by law.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as well as the other factors described in "Risk Factors" under Part II—Item 1A of this report and the information provided in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a global leader in developing, manufacturing and distributing innovative diagnostic medical imaging agents and products that assist clinicians in the diagnosis of cardiovascular diseases such as coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure and stroke, peripheral vascular disease and other diseases.

Our current marketed products are used by nuclear physicians, cardiologists, radiologists, internal medicine physicians, technologists and sonographers working in a variety of clinical settings. We sell our products to radiopharmacies, hospitals, clinics, group practices, integrated delivery networks, group purchasing organizations and, in certain circumstances, wholesalers. In addition to our marketed products, we have three products in clinical and pre-clinical development including our lead Phase III

product, flurpiridaz F 18, a myocardial perfusion imaging agent, or MPI agent, 18F LMI1195, a cardiac neuronal imaging agent, and BMS 753951, for the identification of vascular plaque. We expect ongoing investment in our clinical programs and research and development to remain an important component of our business strategy.

We market our products globally and have operations in the United States, Puerto Rico, Canada and Australia and distribution relationships in Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America.

Our Products

Our principal products include DEFINITY, an ultrasound contrast agent, TechneLite, a generator used to provide the radioisotope to radiolabel Cardiolite and other radiopharmaceuticals, and Cardiolite, a myocardial perfusion imaging agent. We launched DEFINITY in 2001 and it is currently patent protected in the United States until 2021 and in numerous foreign jurisdictions with protection until 2019. Cardiolite was approved by the FDA in 1990, and its market exclusivity expired in July 2008.

In the United States, our nuclear imaging products, including Cardiolite and TechneLite, are primarily distributed through over 350 radiopharmacies that are controlled by or associated with Cardinal, UPPI, GE Healthcare and Triad Isotopes, Inc., or Triad. A small portion of our sales of nuclear imaging products in the United States are made through our direct sales force to hospitals and clinics that maintain their own in-house radiopharmaceutical capabilities. Sales of our contrast agents, including DEFINITY, are made through our direct sales force. At September 30, 2012, we had approximately 88 sales people in the United States. In October 2012, we reduced our sales force to 79 primarily related to personnel dedicated to our Ablavar product. Outside the United States, we own five radiopharmacies in Canada and two radiopharmacies in each of Puerto Rico and Australia. We also maintain a direct sales force in each of these countries. In the rest of the world, we rely on third-party distributors to market, distribute and sell our nuclear imaging and contrast agent products, either on a country-by-country basis or on a multi-country regional basis.

The following table sets forth our revenue derived from our principal products:

	Three Mor	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,				
(dollars in thousands)	2012	%	2011	%	2012	%	2011	%		
Cardiolite	\$ 9,388	12.7	\$16,467	19.1	\$ 25,610	11.9	\$ 58,288	21.2		
TechneLite	28,839	39.1	32,665	37.9	86,447	40.1	100,195	36.5		
DEFINITY	13,936	18.9	17,166	19.9	36,783	17.0	50,632	18.4		
Other	21,582	29.3	19,934	23.1	67,017	31.0	65,655	23.9		
Total revenues	\$73,745	100.0	\$86,232	100.0	\$215,857	100.0	\$274,770	100.0		

Included in Cardiolite is branded Cardiolite and generic sestamibi, some of which we produce and some of which we procure from third parties.

Key Factors Affecting Our Results

Our business and financial performance have been, and continue to be, affected by the following:

Inventory Supply

We currently rely on BVL for sole source manufacturing of DEFINITY and Neurolite. We also rely on BVL as our primary manufacturer of our Cardiolite product supply. In July 2010, BVL implemented a planned shutdown of the facility in which it manufactures products for a number of customers, including us, in order to upgrade the facility to meet certain regulatory requirements. In anticipation of this shutdown, BVL manufactured for us additional inventory of these products to meet

our expected needs during the shutdown period which was anticipated to end in March 2011. Because the shutdown and restart activities took substantially longer than anticipated by either BVL or us, we could not meet all of the demand for certain products during the second half of 2011 and the first three quarters of 2012, resulting in overall revenue decline in comparison to the prior periods. BVL resumed manufacturing certain of our products in May 2012. After BVL released the first lot of newly-manufactured DEFINITY in June 2012, we began shipping initial amounts of DEFINITY to our customers in late June 2012. BVL has now manufactured and released multiple lots of DEFINITY and Cardiolite, which we have shipped to customers or are currently in our inventory. In the third quarter, we fulfilled all of our back-orders for DEFINITY. We currently expect our stock out of Neurolite to last through the end of 2012. We can give no assurances that BVL will be able to manufacture and release product for us on a timely and consistent basis in the future or that we will not have short or longer term stock outs in the future.

We have also expedited a number of technology transfer programs to secure and qualify production of our BVL-manufactured products to alternate contract manufacturing sites. Currently, we are utilizing an alternate manufacturer for Cardiolite and have entered into separate manufacturing and supply agreements with Jubilant HollisterStier ("JHS") for the manufacture of each of DEFINITY, Cardiolite and Neurolite. We are also pursuing new manufacturing relationships to establish and secure additional long-term or alternative suppliers of Cardiolite, Neurolite and DEFINITY, but we are uncertain of the timing as to when the new arrangements with JHS and any other supply arrangement would provide meaningful quantities of product to us. If BVL is not able to continue to manufacture and release adequate product supply on a timely and consistent basis, we are unable to regain sufficient market share, or we are not able to obtain adequate amounts of such products from alternate suppliers (including DEFINITY, Cardiolite and Neurolite from JHS), our financial results will be negatively impacted and we will need to implement additional expense reductions such as a potential delay of discretionary spending including possible reductions in sales and marketing and research and development activities, as well as other operating and strategic initiatives.

Growth of DEFINITY

We believe the market opportunity for our contrast agent, DEFINITY, remains quite significant. As we better educate the physician and healthcare provider community about the benefits and risks of this product, we believe we will experience further penetration of suboptimal echocardiograms. Prior to the supply issues with BVL, sales of DEFINITY have continually increased quarter over quarter since June 2008, when we were able to modify the boxed warning on DEFINITY. Unit sales of DEFINITY had decreased substantially in late 2007 and early 2008 as a result of an FDA request in October 2007 that all manufacturers of ultrasound contrast agents add a boxed warning to their products to notify physicians and patients about potentially serious safety concerns or risks posed by the products. However, in May 2008, the boxed warning was modified by the FDA in response to the substantial advocacy efforts of prescribing physicians. Since then, DEFINITY sales have continually increased quarter over quarter. In October 2011, we received FDA approval of further modifications to the DEFINITY label, including: further relaxing the boxed warning; eliminating the sentence in the Indication and Use section "The safety and efficacy of DEFINITY with exercise stress or pharmacologic stress testing have not been established" (previously added in October 2007 in connection with the imposition of the box warning); and including summary data from the post-approval CaRES (Contrast echocardiography Registry for Safety Surveillance) safety registry and the post-approval pulmonary hypertension study. DEFINITY is currently the only echocardiography contrast agent able to benefit from these label modifications. However, as discussed above under "Inventory Supply", the future growth of our DEFINITY sales will be dependent on the ability of BVL to manufacture and release DEFINITY on a timely and consistent basis and of JHS to receive FDA approval and become a dependable supplier of meaningful quantities of product to us in the future.

Global Moly Supply

Historically, our largest supplier of Moly, our highest volume raw material, has been Nordion (Canada) Inc. ("Nordion"), which has relied on the NRU reactor in Chalk River, Ontario. This reactor was off-line from May 2009 until August 2010 due to a heavy water leak in the reactor vessel. As part of the conditions for the recent relicensing of the NRU reactor from 2011 to 2016, the Canadian government has asked Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, or AECL, to shut down the reactor for at least four weeks at least once a year for inspection and maintenance. The scheduled 2012 shutdown period ran from mid-April 2012 until mid-May 2012, and during such period some of our customers diverted a small amount of business to our competitor, which correspondingly reduced our aggregate orders during the shutdown period. With this diversion, we were able to fulfill all of our customer demand for Moly from our other suppliers during the shutdown period. On October 19, 2012 and October 30, 2012, the Company executed amendments to agreements with Nordion and NTP, our Moly suppliers, which extended the contract terms of those agreements to December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

During the 2009 to 2010 period when the NRU reactor was off-line, instability in the global supply of Moly and supply shortages resulted in substantial volatility in the cost of Moly in comparison to historical costs. We were able to pass some of these Moly cost increases on to our customers through our customer contracts. Additionally, the instability in the global supply of Moly during such period resulted in Moly producers requiring, in exchange for fixed Moly prices, supply minimums in the form of take-or-pay obligations. With less Moly, we manufactured fewer TechneLite generators for radiopharmacies and hospitals to make up unit doses of Cardiolite, resulting in decreased sales of TechneLite and Cardiolite in favor of other diagnostic modalities that did not use Moly during the 2009 to 2010 period when the NRU reactor was off-line.

Demand for TechneLite

Following the global Moly supply challenge in 2009 to 2010, we have experienced reduced demand for TechneLite generators from pre-shortage levels even though volume has increased in absolute terms from shortage levels following the return of our normal Moly supply in August 2010. We do not know if overall industry demand for Technetium will ever return to pre-shortage levels. However, we do believe we have opportunities to gain further share with our customers.

We believe that TechneLite unit volume has not returned to pre-shortage levels for a number of reasons, including: (i) changing staffing and utilization practices in radiopharmacies, which have resulted in increased efficiencies in the preparation of unit doses of Technetium-based radiopharmaceuticals; (ii) shifts to alternative diagnostic imaging modalities during the 2009 to 2010 Moly supply shortage, which have not returned to Technetium-based procedures; and (iii) decreased amounts of Technetium being used in unit-doses of Technetium-based radiopharmaceuticals due to increased concerns about patient radiation dose exposure. We also believe that there has been an overall decline in the MPI study market because of decreased levels of patient studies during the Moly shortage period that have not returned to pre-shortage levels and industry-wide cost-containment initiatives that have resulted in a transition of where imaging procedures are performed from free standing imaging centers to the hospital setting. We expect these factors will continue to affect Technetium demand in the future.

On November 1, 2012, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") announced the 2013 final Medicare payment rules for hospital outpatient settings and physician offices. Under the final rules, CMS will reimburse an incremental \$10 for each technetium dose produced from a generator for a diagnostic procedure in a hospital outpatient setting that is reimbursed by Medicare if such technetium is produced from a generator containing Moly sourced from at least 95 percent LEU. We currently understand that CMS expects to continue this incentive program for the foreseeable future. Starting in January 2013, we will offer a TechneLite generator which contains Moly sourced from at least 95 percent LEU and which satisfies the requirements for reimbursement under this incentive program.

Cardiolite Competitive Pressures

Cardiolite's market exclusivity expired in July 2008. In September 2008, the first of several competing generic products to Cardiolite was launched. With continued pricing pressure from generic competitors, we also sell our Cardiolite product in the form of a generic sestamibi at the same time as we continue to sell branded Cardiolite throughout the MPI segment. We believe this strategy of selling branded as well as generic sestamibi has allowed us to maintain total segment share by having multiple sestamibi offerings that are attractive in terms of brand, as well as price.

In addition to pricing pressure due to generics, our Cardiolite products have also faced a share decline in the MPI segment due to a change in professional society appropriateness guidelines, on-going reimbursement pressures, the limited availability of Moly during the NRU reactor shutdown, the limited availability of Cardiolite products to us during the BVL outage, and the increase in use of other diagnostic modalities as a result of a shift to more available imaging agents and modalities. Prior to the BVL-related supply challenges, we believe we had been able to maintain share for our branded product in a generic segment, because of brand awareness, loyalty to the agent within the cardiology community and our strong relationships with our distribution partners. We believe the BVL outage and continued generic competition will result in future share erosion for our Cardiolite products.

Increases in Research and Development Expenses

To compete successfully in the marketplace, we must make substantial investments in new product development. As a result, research and development expenses are a key factor that has historically affected our results and will continue to do so in the future. We expect that research and development expenses will fluctuate depending primarily on the timing and outcomes of clinical trials, related manufacturing initiatives and the results of our decisions based on these outcomes. We expect to incur additional expenses over the next several years for clinical trials related to our product development candidates, including flurpiridaz F 18, 18F LMI1195 and BMS 753951. We also expect manufacturing expenses for some programs included in research and development expenses to increase as we support our manufacturing infrastructure for later stages of clinical development.

Executive Overview

The following have impacted our results in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012:

- limited supply of DEFINITY, Cardiolite and Neurolite product inventory as a result of the BVL outage, and a higher cost of goods sold
 for Cardiolite because of more expensive sourcing from our current alternate manufacturer of Cardiolite and from our third party
 manufacturers of generic sestamibi;
- an additional inventory write-down of \$10.6 million and a reserve of \$1.9 million for an additional loss associated with the portion of the committed purchases of Ablavar product that we do not believe will be sold prior to expiry, as a result of the realignment and reduction of the sales force dedicated to Ablavar;

- in June 2012, we began to supply DEFINITY produced by BVL again to customers, which resulted in increasing revenues from the second quarter and increased sales incentive compensation;
- continued generic competition to Cardiolite;
- limited Ablavar revenues to offset costs related to the commercialization of the product;
- underabsorption of manufacturing overhead due to BVL outage;
- action taken on March 1, 2012 to reduce our workforce in an effort to reduce costs and increase operating efficiency; and
- receipt of \$35.0 million from BVL to compensate us for business losses under (i) a Settlement and Mutual Release Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"), (ii) a Transition Services Agreement (the "Transition Services Agreement"), and (iii) a Manufacturing and Service Contract (the "Manufacturing and Service Contract").
 - In the Settlement Agreement, LMI and BVL agreed to a broad mutual waiver and release for all matters that occurred prior to the date of the Settlement Agreement, a covenant not to sue and a payment in the amount of \$30.0 million from BVL to compensate us for our business losses.
 - Under the Transition Services Agreement, BVL agreed to manufacture for LMI an initial supply of DEFINITY, Cardiolite, Neurolite and certain TechneLite accessories, and agreed to make weekly payments to LMI, up to an aggregate of \$5.0 million as further compensation for business losses until an agreed-upon supply of LMI's products has been restored.
 - Under the Manufacturing and Service Contract, BVL agreed to manufacture for LMI certain amounts of DEFINITY, Cardiolite, Neurolite and certain TechneLite accessories following the initial supply provided under the Transition Services Agreement. The agreement expires on December 31, 2013.

For the remainder of 2012, until we are able to restore consistent, full and normal production of all of our BVL-manufactured products, as well as to build sufficient inventory to appropriately serve all of our customers, or obtain adequate supply from our alternate supplier, JHS, our results of operations will be negatively impacted. We believe this will be partially mitigated to the extent DEFINITY regains market share and sales can continue to grow. Such growth is contingent upon the future growth of our DEFINITY sales which will be dependent on the ability of BVL to manufacture and release DEFINITY on a timely and consistent basis and of JHS to receive FDA approval and become a dependable supplier of meaningful quantities of product to us in the future.

Results of Operations

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
		2012 2011			2012	2	2011
Revenues							
Net product revenues	\$	71,163	\$	84,091	\$ 207,	839	\$ 268,325
License and other revenues		2,582		2,141	8,	018	6,445
Total revenues		73,745		86,232	215,	857	274,770
Cost of goods sold		65,114		48,943	166,	275	188,439
Loss on firm purchase commitment		1,859			1,	859	1,879
Total cost of goods sold		66,973		48,943	168,	134	190,318
Gross profit		6,772		37,289	47,	723	84,452
Operating expenses							
General and administrative expenses		7,801		8,681	24,	760	23,935
Sales and marketing expenses		9,257		9,650	28,	165	29,747
Research and development expenses		10,511		10,338	31,	282	31,185
Proceeds from manufacturer		(800)		_	(34,	614)	_
Total operating expenses		26,769		28,669	49,	593	84,867
Operating (loss) income		(19,997)		8,620	(1,	870)	(415)
Interest expense, net		(10,464)		(10,517)	(31,	277)	(27,887)
Other (expense) income, net		(834)		355	(248)	1,298
Loss before income taxes		(31,295)		(1,542)	(33,	395)	(27,004)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		(2,574)		452	(944)	(9,044)
Net loss	\$	(28,721)	\$	(1,994)	\$ (32,	451)	\$ (17,960)
Foreign currency translation, net of taxes		1,021		(1,156)	1,	199	(529)
Total comprehensive loss	\$	(27,700)	\$	(3,150)	\$ (31,	252)	\$ (18,489)

Revenues

Revenues are summarized as follows:

	Three Months Nine Months Ended September 30, Ended September 30,
(dollars in thousands)	2012 2011 2012 2011
United States	
Cardiolite	\$ 4,647 \$ 10,686 \$ 9,138 \$ 37,845
TechneLite	25,575 28,721 76,270 87,124
DEFINITY	13,501 16,934 35,949 49,853
Other currently marketed products	8,768 7,585 25,571 27,391
Total U.S. product revenue	52,491 63,926 146,928 202,213
License and other revenues	2,582 2,141 8,011 6,445
Total U.S. revenues	\$ 55,073 \$ 66,067 \$ 154,939 \$ 208,658
International	
Cardiolite	\$ 4,741 \$ 5,781 \$ 16,472 \$ 20,443
TechneLite	3,264 3,944 10,177 13,071
DEFINITY	435 232 834 779
Other currently marketed products	10,232 10,208 33,428 31,819
Total International product revenue	18,672 20,165 60,911 66,112
License and other revenues	7 _
Total International revenues	\$ 18,672 \$ 20,165 \$ 60,918 \$ 66,112
Product revenue	71,163 84,091 207,839 268,325
License and other revenue	2,582 2,141 8,018 6,445
Total revenue	\$ 73,745 \$ 86,232 \$ 215,857 \$ 274,770

Total revenues decreased \$12.5 million, or 14.5%, to \$73.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$86.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011. U.S. segment revenue decreased \$11.0 million, or 16.6%, to \$55.1 million in the same period, as compared to \$66.1 million in the prior year. International segment revenue decreased \$1.5 million, or 7.4%, to \$18.7 million in the same period, as compared to \$20.2 million in the prior year.

Total revenues decreased \$58.9 million, or 21.4%, to \$215.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$274.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. U.S. segment revenue decreased \$53.7 million, or 25.7%, to \$154.9 million in the same period, as compared to \$208.6 million in the prior year. International segment revenue decreased \$5.2 million, or 7.9%, to \$60.9 million in the same period, as compared to \$66.1 million in the prior year.

The decrease in revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for both the U.S. and International segments was primarily due to the BVL outage impacting our supply of DEFINITY, Cardiolite, and Neurolite. See "Key Factors Affecting Our Results—Inventory Supply." TechneLite sales decreased given lower volume. Offsetting these decreases were increases in revenue for the U.S. segment of Xenon, as a result of price increases.

Rebates, Discounts and Allowances

Estimates for rebates, discounts and allowances represent our estimated obligations under contractual arrangements with third parties. Rebate accruals and allowances are recorded in the same period the related revenue is recognized, resulting in a reduction in product revenue and the establishment of a liability which is included in accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated

balance sheets. These rebates result from performance-based offers that are primarily based on attaining contractually specified sales volumes and growth, Medicaid rebate programs for certain products, administration fees of group purchasing organizations and certain distributor related commissions. The calculation of the accrual for these rebates and allowances is based on an estimate of the third party's buying patterns and the resulting applicable contractual rebate or commission rate(s) to be earned over a contractual period.

Revenue reserves are categorized as rebates or allowances. An analysis of the amount of, and change in, reserves is summarized as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Rebates	Allowances	Total
Balance, as of January 1, 2011	\$ 910	\$ 101	\$ 1,011
Current provisions relating to revenues in current year	3,672	474	4,146
Adjustments relating to prior years' estimate	(116)	_	(116)
Payments/credits relating to revenues in current year	(2,617)	(441)	(3,058)
Payments/credits relating to revenues in prior years	(493)	(101)	(594)
Balance, as of December 31, 2011	1,356	33	1,389
Current provisions relating to revenues in current year	2,502	203	2,705
Adjustments relating to prior years' estimate	(140)	_	(140)
Payments/credits relating to revenues in current year	(1,391)	(186)	(1,577)
Payments/credits relating to revenues in prior years	(641)	(35)	(676)
Balance, as of September 30, 2012	\$ 1,686	\$ 15	\$ 1,701

Sales rebates and other accruals were approximately \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The increase in the accrual resulted principally from the full year impact in 2012 of the addition of contracts with rebate rights executed in 2011.

Costs of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold consists of manufacturing, distribution, definite lived intangible asset amortization and other costs related to our commercial products. In addition, it includes the write off of excess and obsolete inventory.

Cost of goods sold is summarized as follows:

	Three Months		Nine N	Ionths
	Ended September 30,		Ended Sep	tember 30,
(dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2012	2011
United States	\$ 52,546	\$ 36,381	\$ 125,488	\$ 150,358
International	14,427	12,562	42,646	39,960
Total Cost of Goods Sold	\$ 66,973	\$ 48,943	\$ 168,134	\$ 190,318

Total costs of goods sold increased \$18.0 million, or 36.8%, to \$67.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$49.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011. U.S. segment costs of goods sold increased \$16.2 million, or 44.4%, to \$52.6 million in the same period, as compared to \$36.4 million in the prior year. International segment costs of goods sold increased \$1.8 million, or 14.8%, to \$14.4 million in the same period, as compared to \$12.6 million in the prior year.

Total costs of goods sold decreased \$22.2 million, or 11.7%, to \$168.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$190.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. U.S. segment costs of goods sold decreased \$24.9 million, or 16.5%, to \$125.5 million in the same

period, as compared to \$150.4 million in the prior year. International segment costs of goods sold increased \$2.7 million, or 6.7%, to \$42.7 million in the same period, as compared to \$40.0 million in the prior year.

The primary contributing factor to the increase in cost of goods sold in the three months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment were write-offs resulting from an assessment of future Ablavar sales, on-hand inventory expiry and committed supply. Based on the assessment, we currently believe that we will not be able to sell a portion of committed supply purchases of Ablavar product and a portion of on-hand inventory prior to its expiration. As a result, we recorded an inventory write down and a contract loss reserve of \$10.6 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, during the three months ended September 30, 2012. Additionally, we incurred increases in DEFINITY technology transfer costs, additional take or pay losses on purchase commitments for Moly and higher Cardiolite costs due to higher material expenses as we sourced material from an alternate higher cost manufacturer because of the BVL outage. These increases were offset by lower TechneLite manufacturing overhead and distribution expenses.

The primary contributing factor to the decrease in cost of goods sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment was the prior period write-off for Ablavar intangible assets of \$23.5 million and \$2.9 million less in amounts recorded for Ablavar inventory write down and contract loss reserves associated with Ablavar inventory purchase commitments. We also incurred lower TechneLite costs due to lower unit volumes and lower Thallium manufacturing overhead costs. These decreases were partially offset by higher DEFINITY technology transfer costs, take or pay losses on purchase commitments for Moly and higher Cardiolite manufacturing costs due to increased material expenses as we sourced material from an alternate higher cost manufacturer because of the BVL outage.

The increase in cost of goods sold in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the International segment was due to temporary increases in costs for third party sestamibi and a substitute product for Neurolite. Relating only to the nine month period, these increases were partly offset by lower Cardiolite and Neurolite unit volumes in certain markets.

Gross Profit

Three	Three Months		Ionths
Ended Sep	Ended September 30,		tember 30,
2012	2011	2012	2011
\$ 2,526	\$ 29,686	\$ 29,450	\$ 58,300
4,246	7,603	18,273	26,152
\$ 6,772	\$ 37,289	\$ 47,723	\$ 84,452
	Ended Sep 2012 \$ 2,526 4,246	Ended September 30, 2012 2011 \$ 2,526 \$ 29,686 4,246 7,603	Ended September 30, Ended September 30, 2012 2011 2012 \$ 2,526 \$ 29,686 \$ 29,450 4,246 7,603 18,273

Total gross profit decreased \$30.5 million, or 81.8%, to \$6.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$37.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011. U.S. segment gross profit decreased \$27.2 million, or 91.5%, to \$2.5 million in the same period, as compared to \$29.7 million in the prior year. International segment gross profit decreased \$3.4 million, or 44.2%, to \$4.2 million in the same period, as compared to \$7.6 million in the prior year.

Total gross profit decreased \$36.7 million, or 43.5%, to \$47.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$84.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. U.S. segment gross profit decreased \$28.9 million, or 49.5%, to \$29.4 million in the same period, as compared to \$58.3 million in the prior year. International segment gross profit decreased \$7.9 million, or 30.1%, to \$18.3 million in the same period, as compared to \$26.2 million in the prior year.

The decrease in gross profit in the three months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment was due to recording a \$12.5 million write down of Ablavar on-hand inventory and a contract loss reserve associated with the Ablavar inventory purchase commitment. We also incurred decreased profits from higher DEFINITY technology transfer costs, additional take or pay losses on purchase commitments for Moly and lower unit sales volumes of Cardiolite and DEFINITY, caused by the supply issues resulting from the BVL outage. These decreases were partly offset by higher Xenon gross profit due to price increases.

The decrease in gross profit in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment was due to lower profits from DEFINITY, Cardiolite and Neurolite caused by supply issues resulting from the BVL outage and incurring take or pay losses on purchase commitments for Moly. We also experienced decreased profits from higher DEFINITY technology transfer costs and lower TechneLite unit sales volume. These decreases were partially offset by the prior period Ablavar intangible assets write-off noted above and higher Xenon gross profit due to price increases.

The decrease in gross profit in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for our International segment was due to lower Cardiolite and Neurolite unit sales volumes related to the product shortage in certain markets and higher material expenses as we sourced material from alternate higher cost manufacturers. Relating only to the nine month period, these decreases were partially offset by higher profits from Neurolite ligand, which is unaffected by the product shortage.

General and Administrative

	Three Months		Nine Months		
	Ended Sep	tember 30,	Ended Sep	tember 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
United States	\$ 7,273	\$ 8,051	\$ 23,099	\$ 22,016	
International	528	630	1,661	1,919	
Total General and Administrative	\$ 7,801	\$ 8,681	\$ 24,760	\$ 23,935	

General and administrative expenses consist of salaries and other related costs for personnel in executive, finance, legal, information technology and human resource functions. Other costs included in general and administrative expenses are professional fees for information technology services, external legal fees, consulting and accounting services as well as bad debt expense, certain facility and insurance costs, including director and officer liability insurance.

Total general and administrative expense decreased \$0.9 million, or 10.1%, to \$7.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$8.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011. In the U.S. segment, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.8 million, or 9.7%, to \$7.3 million, as compared to \$8.1 million in the prior year period. In the International segment, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.1 million, or 16.2%, to \$0.5 million, as compared to \$0.6 million in the prior year period.

Total general and administrative expenses increased \$0.8 million, or 3.4%, to \$24.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$23.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. In the U.S. segment, general and administrative expenses increased \$1.1 million, or 4.9%, to \$23.1 million, as compared to \$22.0 million in the prior year period. In the International segment, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.2 million, or 13.4%, to \$1.7 million, as compared to \$1.9 million in the prior year period.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses in the three months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment was primarily due to lower external legal fees in connection with our suit seeking to recover business interruption losses, lower salaries and benefits associated with reduced

headcount, lower recruiting fees and reduced spending with professional service providers for information technology support. These decreases were offset, in part, by an increase in the estimated fees associated with external audit and related services.

The increase in general and administrative expenses in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment was primarily due to the reversal of stock-based compensation expense in 2011 relating to the determination that the achievement of certain performance targets were no longer probable and current year modifications to stock option agreements. In addition, depreciation expense increased over the prior year as a result of certain capital spending projects occurring in late 2011 and early 2012 related primarily to information technology improvements. Offsetting this increase was overall lower external support primarily related to information technology.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the International segment was primarily due to attrition in the international workforce during the second quarter of 2012 and a recovery of bad debt during the first nine months of 2012.

Sales and Marketing

	Three 1	Three Months		Ionths
	Ended Sep	tember 30,	Ended Sep	tember 30,
(dollars in thousands)	2012	2012 2011		2011
United States	\$ 8,453	\$ 8,565	\$ 25,150	\$ 26,015
International	804	1,085	3,015	3,732
Total Sales and Marketing	\$ 9,257	\$ 9,650	\$ 28,165	\$ 29,747

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs for personnel in field sales, marketing, business development, and customer service functions. Other costs in sales and marketing expenses include the development and printing of advertising and promotional material, professional services, market research, and sales meetings.

Total sales and marketing expenses decreased \$0.4 million, or 4.1%, to \$9.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$9.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011. In the U.S. segment, sales and marketing expenses decreased \$0.1 million, or 1.3%, to \$8.5 million, as compared to \$8.6 million in the prior year period. In the International segment, sales and marketing expenses decreased \$0.3 million, or 25.9%, to \$0.8 million, as compared to \$1.1 million in the prior year period.

Total sales and marketing expenses decreased \$1.6 million, or 5.3%, to \$28.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$29.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. In the U.S. segment, sales and marketing expenses decreased \$0.9 million, or 3.3%, to \$25.1 million, as compared to \$26.0 million in the prior year period. In the International segment, sales and marketing expenses decreased \$0.7 million, or 19.2%, to \$3.0 million, as compared to \$3.7 million in the prior year period.

The decrease in sales and marketing expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment was primarily due to lower salary and other personnel costs in 2012 related to a workforce reduction during the second quarter of 2011. Overall, there were lower expenses on sales and marketing activities as a result of reductions in discretionary spending due to the prolonged BVL outage. These decreases were offset by the reversal of stock-based compensation in the first quarter of 2011 and sales incentive compensation related to the return of DEFINITY product during June 2012.

The decrease in sales and marketing expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the International segment was primarily due to a decrease in headcount and lower expenses on sales and marketing activities as a result of reductions in discretionary spending due to the prolonged BVL outage.

Research and Development

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
(dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2012	2011
United States	\$ 10,484	\$ 10,195	\$ 31,167	\$ 30,649
International	27	143	115	536
Total Research and Development	\$ 10,511	\$ 10,338	\$ 31,282	\$ 31,185

Total research and development expenses increased \$0.2 million, or 1.7%, to \$10.5 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$10.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011. In the U.S. segment, research and development expenses increased \$0.3 million, or 2.8%, to \$10.5 million, as compared to \$10.2 million in the prior year period. In the International segment, research and development expenses decreased \$116,000, or 81.1%, to \$27,000, as compared to \$143,000 in the prior year period.

Total research and development expenses increased \$0.1 million, or 0.3%, to \$31.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$31.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. In the U.S. segment, research and development expenses increased \$0.5 million, or 1.7%, to \$31.2 million, as compared to \$30.7 million in the prior year period. In the International segment, research and development expenses decreased \$0.4 million, or 78.5%, to \$0.1 million, as compared to \$0.5 million in the prior year period.

The increase in research and development expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the U.S. segment was primarily due to the timing of clinical activity related to our flurpiridaz F 18 program as we continued to actively enroll patients and activate sites for our Phase III program. During the same periods in 2011, we were primarily in the planning and preparation stage for our flurpiridaz F 18 Phase III program, enrolling our first patient during the second quarter of 2011. This increase in clinical activity in 2012 resulted in increased external costs related to our clinical research organization, investigator expenses, drug products, lab supplies, and consultants. These increases were offset by a reduction in workforce in the second quarter of 2011.

The decrease in research and development expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the International segment was primarily due to a reduction in workforce in the second quarter of 2011.

We anticipate that our research and development expenses for the remainder of 2012 will primarily relate to the support of our flurpiridaz F 18 Phase III program.

Proceeds from Manufacturer

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011, proceeds from manufacturer increased by \$0.8 million and \$34.6 million, respectively, as a result of the receipt of the \$30.0 million from BVL to compensate us for business losses and an additional \$5.0 million under the Transition Services Agreement. During the first quarter of 2012, BVL and LMI terminated their original manufacturing agreement and entered into the Settlement Agreement, the Transition Services Agreement and the Manufacturing and Services Contract.

Other (Expense) Income, Net

	Three Mont		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
(dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Interest expense	\$ (10,510)	\$ (10,599)	\$ (31,476)	\$ (28,117)	
Interest income	46	82	199	230	
Other (expense) income, net	(834)	355	(248)	1,298	
Total other (expense) income, net	\$ (11,298)	\$ (10,162)	\$ (31,525)	\$ (26,589)	

Interest Expense

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011, interest expense decreased by \$0.1 million and increased by \$3.4 million, respectively, as a result of the issuance of the \$150.0 million 9.750% Notes in March 2011. See Note 10, "Financing Arrangements" to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Interest Income

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011, interest income decreased by \$36,000 and \$31,000, respectively, as a result of the change in balances in interest bearing accounts.

Other (Expense) Income, net

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011, other (expense) income decreased by \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, primarily due to a decrease in the tax indemnification asset and changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes

	Three Months	Nine Months
	Ended	Ended
	September 30,	September 30,
(dollars in thousands)	2012 20:	11 2012 2011
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$ (2.574) \$ 4	152 \$ (944) \$ (9.044)

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, income tax expense decreased due primarily to the reversal of uncertain tax positions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, income tax benefit decreased due to domestic losses that are not benefited. Our annualized effective tax rate for 2012 is estimated to be 16.21%. Our tax benefit for the period ending September 30, 2012 consisted of a provision of \$0.4 million associated with current year earnings and a benefit of \$1.3 million associated with discrete events. Discrete events for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 include approximately \$1.9 million related to the provision for additional interest on uncertain tax positions, a \$1.3 million and a \$2.3 million benefit related to the reversal of uncertain tax positions and taxes payable, respectively, due to the expiration of the statute of limitations for the 2008 tax year and a provision of \$0.4 million related to the settlement of tax audits and tax rate changes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

The following table provides information regarding our cash flows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,
(dollars in thousands)	2012 2011 \$ Chang
Cash provided by (used in):	
Operating activities	\$ 22,211 \$ 25,057 \$ (2,84
Investing activities	\$ (5,125) \$ (6,359) \$ 1,23
Financing activities	\$ (1,529) \$ (6,953) \$ 5,42

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities is primarily driven by our earnings and changes in working capital. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily driven by the impact of decreased unit sales due to the BVL outage. These decreases were offset by the receipt of the \$35.0 million BVL settlement in 2012, an amended purchase agreement for one of our products of which \$15.0 million of required purchases were made during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, versus \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and the timing of payments made to vendors.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 primarily reflects the purchase of property and equipment.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

Our primary historical uses of cash in financing activities are principal payments on our term loan and line of credit, as well as dividends to Holdings, our parent. On March 21, 2011, we issued an additional \$150.0 million of Notes at 9.750% per annum.

Internal Sources of Liquidity

Our internal sources of liquidity are derived from cash and cash equivalents of \$56.8 million as of September 30, 2012, as well as revenues primarily from the sale of Cardiolite, Technelite and DEFINITY.

External Sources of Liquidity

Since 2010, in addition to revenues provided by the sales of our products, our primary source of external liquidity has been the proceeds from the issuance of the \$400.0 million 9.750% Senior Notes due in May of 2017. In addition to the Notes, we have a \$42.5 million revolving credit facility (the "Facility") that bears interest at either LIBOR plus 3.75% or the Reference Rate (as defined in the agreement) plus 2.75%. The Facility expires on May 10, 2014, at which time any outstanding borrowings are due and payable.

On October 11, 2012, we executed a third amendment to the Facility which further modified the financial covenants and certain definitions used to calculate compliance with those covenants. We incurred approximately \$0.2 million in lender fees associated with this amendment.

As of September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all applicable financial covenants. As of September 30, 2012 and the date hereof, there were no amounts outstanding under the Facility, other

than an \$8.8 million unfunded Standby Letter of Credit, and the aggregate borrowing capacity was \$33.7 million. The availability under the Facility decreased in the quarter ended June 30, 2012, due to the Company increasing the unfunded Standby Letter of Credit from \$4.4 million to \$8.8 million, which expires on February 2, 2013, to support a surety bond related to a statutory decommissioning obligation we have in connection with our Billerica facility. The Company expects to continue to require use of the letter of credit for a surety bond on an ongoing basis and may seek to renew this standby letter of credit upon expiration.

If BVL is not able to continue to manufacture and release product supply on a timely and consistent basis, we are not able to obtain adequate supply of such products from alternative suppliers (including DEFINITY, Cardiolite and Neurolite from JHS), or we are unable to regain and grow sufficient market share with our principal products, then we will need to implement certain expense reductions, such as a delay or elimination of discretionary spending including possible reductions in sales and marketing and research and development activities, as well as other operating and strategic initiatives. If we are not successful in those initiatives, we could be in non-compliance with one or more of the financial ratio covenants in the Facility in 2013. If this were to occur, we would seek either an additional amendment to the Facility or a waiver or consent in connection with the appropriate financial covenants to eliminate such potential default. There can be no assurance that we would be able to obtain an amendment, waiver or consent from our lenders. Any financial covenant default under the Facility will not result in a cross-default under the Indenture that governs the Notes unless the amount outstanding under the Facility is greater than \$10.0 million and the lenders accelerate the repayment of such debt. Currently there is \$8.8 million outstanding under the Facility in the form of an issued but undrawn letter of credit. Consequently, based on amounts outstanding as of the date of this report, a financial ratio covenant default under the Facility would currently only impact our ability to borrow under the Facility.

We may from time to time repurchase or otherwise retire our debt and take other steps to reduce our debt or otherwise improve our balance sheet. These actions may include open market repurchases of any notes outstanding, prepayments of our term loans or other retirements or refinancing of outstanding debt. The amount of debt that may be repurchased or otherwise retired, if any, would be decided upon at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on market conditions, trading levels of our debt from time to time, our cash position and other considerations.

Funding Requirements

Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- our ability to have product manufactured and released from BVL and from alternative manufacturing sites in the future;
- the level of product sales of our currently marketed products and any additional products that we may market in the future;
- the scope, progress, results and costs of development activities for our current product candidates and whether we obtain one or more partners to help share such development costs;
- the costs, timing and outcome of regulatory review of our product candidates;
- the number of, and development requirements for, additional product candidates that we pursue;
- the costs of commercialization activities, including product marketing, sales and distribution and whether we obtain one or more partners to help share such commercialization costs;
- the costs and timing of establishing manufacturing and supply arrangements for clinical and commercial supplies of our product candidates and products;

- the extent to which we acquire or invest in products, businesses and technologies;
- the extent to which we choose to establish collaboration, co-promotion, distribution or other similar arrangements for our marketed products and product candidates;
- the legal costs relating to maintaining, expanding and enforcing our intellectual property portfolio, pursuing insurance or other claims and defending against product liability, regulatory compliance or other claims;
- the cost of interest on any additional borrowings which we may incur under our financing arrangements.

If our capital resources become insufficient to meet our future capital requirements, we would need to finance our cash needs through public or private equity offerings, debt financings, sale-leasebacks or other financing or strategic alternatives, to the extent such transactions are permissible under the covenants of the Facility and the Indenture. Additional equity or debt financing, or other transactions, may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. If any of these transactions require an amendment or waiver under the covenants in the Facility and under the Indenture, which could result in additional expenses associated with obtaining the amendment or waiver, we will seek to obtain such a waiver to remain in compliance with the covenants of the Facility and the Indenture. However, we cannot be assured that such an amendment or waiver would be granted, or that additional capital will be available on acceptable terms, if at all.

Our only current committed external source of funds is borrowing availability under the Facility. We generated a net loss of \$28.7 million and \$32.5 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and had \$56.8 million of cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2012. Based on our current operating plans, we believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents and results of operations will be sufficient to continue to fund our liquidity requirements for at least the next twelve months. However, if BVL is not able to continue to manufacture and release adequate product supply on a timely and consistent basis, we are not successful with our JHS technology transfer programs and we cannot obtain adequate supply from JHS, or we are unable to regain and grow sufficient market share with our principal products, then we will need to implement additional expense reductions, such as a potential delay or elimination of discretionary spending including possible reductions in sales and marketing and research and development activities, as well as other operating and strategic initiatives.

Contractual Obligations

Contractual obligations represent future cash commitments and liabilities under agreements with third parties and exclude contingent contractual liabilities for which we cannot reasonably predict future payment, including contingencies related to potential future development, financing, certain suppliers, contingent royalty payments and/or scientific, regulatory, or commercial milestone payments

under development agreements. The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2012:

		Payr	nents Due by P	eriod	
		Less than			More than
	Total	1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	5 Years
		(do	llars in thousai	nds)	
Debt obligations (principal)	\$ 400,155	\$ 155	\$ —	\$ 400,000	\$ —
Interest on debt obligations	195,000	39,000	78,000	78,000	_
Operating leases(1)	3,664	941	1,490	636	597
Purchase obligations(2)(4)	68,971	57,910	11,061		_
Asset retirement obligation	5,278	_	_	_	5,278
Other long-term liabilities(3)	34,617	_	_	_	34,617
Total contractual obligations	\$ 707,685	\$ 98,006	\$ 90,551	\$ 478,636	\$ 40,492

- (1) Operating leases include minimum payments under leases for our facilities and certain equipment.
- (2) Purchase obligations include fixed or minimum payments under manufacturing and service agreements with third-parties.
- Due to the uncertainty related to the timing of the reversal of uncertain tax positions, the liability is not subject to fixed payment terms and the amount and timing of payments, if any, which we will make related to this liability are not known.
- On October 19, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 2 to the Molybdenum-99 Purchase and Supply Agreement between LMI and Nordion. Beginning November 1, 2012, LMI will be committed to purchasing a minimum supply of Moly based upon a declining percentage of LMI's total requirement at a lower average purchase price and extended the commitment from 2013 to 2015. As a result, our cumulative projected future commitments to Nordion under the extended agreement are expected to increase.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial position and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates and judgments that may affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition and related allowances, inventory, impairments of long-lived assets including intangible assets, impairments of goodwill, income taxes including the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets, valuation of investments, research and development expenses, contingencies and litigation, and share-based payments.

Please read Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for a discussion of our critical accounting estimates. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies in the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Since inception, we have not engaged in any off-balance sheet arrangements, including structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We do not currently hold or issue financial instruments to reduce these risks or for trading purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with the Facility, which is variable rate indebtedness. Interest rate changes could increase the amount of our interest payments and thus negatively impact our future earnings and cash flows. As of September 30, 2012, there was no amount outstanding under the Facility, other than a \$8.8 million unfunded Standby Letter of Credit, which reduces availability to \$33.7 million. Any increase in the interest rate under the Facility may have a negative impact on our future earnings.

Foreign Currency Risk

We face exposure to movements in foreign currency exchange rates whenever we, or any of our subsidiaries, enter into transactions with third parties that are denominated in currencies other than our, or its, functional currency. Intercompany transactions between entities that use different functional currencies also expose us to foreign currency risk. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the net impact of foreign currency changes on transactions was a loss of \$0.3 million and \$22,000, respectively. Historically, we have not used derivative financial instruments or other financial instruments to hedge such economic exposures.

Gross margins of products we manufacture at our U.S. plants and sell in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar are also affected by foreign currency exchange rate movements. Our gross margin on total revenue for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was 22.1% and 30.7%, respectively. If the U.S. Dollar had been stronger by 1%, 5% or 10%, compared to the actual rates during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we estimate our gross margin on total sales would have been 22.1%, 22.2% and 22.3%, respectively. If the U.S. Dollar had been stronger by 1%, 5% or 10%, compared to the actual rates during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we estimate our gross margin on total net product sales would have been 30.8%, 30.9% and 31.0%, respectively.

In addition, a portion of our earnings is generated by our foreign subsidiaries, whose functional currencies are other than the U.S. Dollar (in which we report our consolidated financial results); our earnings could be materially impacted by movements in foreign currency exchange rates upon the translation of the earnings of such subsidiaries into the U.S. Dollar.

If the U.S. Dollar had been uniformly stronger by 1%, 5% or 10%, compared to the actual average exchange rates used to translate the financial results of our foreign subsidiaries, our net product sales and net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 would have been impacted by approximately the following amounts:

	De	proximate ecrease in t Revenue	Approxi Decreas Net Inco	e in
		(dollars in t	housands)	
1%	\$	(394)	\$	(3)
5%		(1,970)		(14)
10%		(3,939)		(27)

If the U.S. Dollar had been uniformly stronger by 1%, 5% or 10%, compared to the actual average exchange rates used to translate the financial results of our foreign subsidiaries, our net

product sales and net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 would have been impacted by approximately the following amounts:

	Deci	oximate rease in Revenue	Approximate Decrease in Net Income
		dollars in th	
1%	\$	(461)	\$ (14)
5%		(2,306)	(70)
10%		(4,612)	(139)

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures; as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are a party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, we have in the past been, and may in the future be, subject to investigations by regulatory authorities which expose us to greater risks associated with litigation, regulatory or other proceedings, as a result of which we could be required to pay significant fines or penalties. The outcome of litigation, regulatory or other proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty and some lawsuits, claims, actions or proceedings may be disposed of unfavorably to us. In addition, intellectual property disputes often have a risk of injunctive relief which, if imposed against us, could materially and adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

On December 16, 2010, we filed suit against one of our insurance carriers seeking to recover business interruption losses associated with the NRU reactor shutdown and the ensuing global Moly supply challenge (*Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc., Plaintiff v. Zurich American Insurance Company, Defendant,* United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 10 Civ 9371). The claim is the result of the shutdown of the NRU reactor in Chalk River, Ontario. The NRU reactor was off-line from May 2009 until August 2010 due to a "heavy water" leak in the reactor vessel. The defendant answered the complaint on January 21, 2011, denying substantially all of the allegations, presenting certain defenses and requesting dismissal of the case with costs and disbursements. On April 4, 2011, the parties had their first pre-trial conference in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and discovery has commenced and is continuing. We cannot be certain what amount, if any, or when, if ever, we will be able to recover for business interruption losses related to this matter.

Except as noted above, as of September 30, 2012, we had no material ongoing litigation, regulatory or other proceeding and had no knowledge of any investigations by governmental or regulatory authorities in which we are a target that could have a material adverse effect on our current business.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no changes in the risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. For further information, refer to Part I—Item IA. "Risk Factors," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS* XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL* XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

sned nerewith.

^{*} Furnished herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LANTHEUS MEDICAL IMAGING, INC.

By: /s/ DONALD R. KIEPERT

Name: Donald R. Kiepert

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 09, 2012

LANTHEUS MEDICAL IMAGING, INC.

By: /s/ JEFFREY E. YOUNG

Name: Jeffrey E. Young
Title: Chief Financial Officer
Date: November 09, 2012

54

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*	ished beravith

^{*} Furnished herewith.

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Donald R. Kiepert, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - C. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: November 09, 2012

/s/ DONALD R. KIEPERT

Name: Donald R. Kiepert

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

QuickLinks

Exhibit 31.1

<u>CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002</u>

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Jeffrey E. Young, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - C. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: November 09, 2012

/s/ JEFFREY E. YOUNG

Name: Jeffrey E. Young
Title: Chief Financial Officer

QuickLinks

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED BY SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Each of the undersigned hereby certifies that to his knowledge the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012 of Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc. (the "Company") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 09, 2012

/s/ DONALD R. KIEPERT

Name: Donald R. Kiepert

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: November 09, 2012

/s/ JEFFREY E. YOUNG

Name: Jeffrey E. Young
Title: Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

QuickLinks

Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED BY SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002